1 The Valgent4 Protocol: Robust analytical and clinical validation of 11 HPV 2 assays with genotyping on cervical samples collected in SurePath medium.

Jesper Bonde¹, Ditte Møller Ejegod¹, Kate Cuschieri², Joakim Dillner³, Daniëlle A.M. Heideman⁴, Wim
 Quint⁵, Miguel Angel Pavon Ribas⁶, Elizaveta Padalko⁷, Irene Kraus Christiansen⁸, Lan Xu⁹, & Marc Arbyn⁹

- 5 1. Department of Pathology, Copenhagen University Hospital, Kettegårds Alle 30, 2650 Hvidovre, Denmark.
- 6 2. Scottish HPV Reference Laboratory, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, EH16 4SA, Edinburgh, Scotland.
- 3. International HPV Reference Center, Karolinska University Hospital, Alfred Nobels Allê 8, Huddinge
 Stockholm, Sweden.
- 9 4. Cancer Center Amsterdam, Department of Pathology, VU University Medical Center, De Boelelaan 1118,
- 10 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- 15. DLL Diagnostic Laboratory, Visseringlaan 25, 2288 ER, Rijswijk, Netherlands.
- 12 6. Infection and Cancer Laboratory, Cancer Epidemiology Research Program and CIBER-ONC, Granvia de
- 13 L'Hospitalet 199-203, Institut Català d'Oncologia, Barcelona, Spain.
- 14 7. Department of Laboratory Medicine, Ghent University Hospital, Sint-Pietersnieuwstraat 25, B-9000
- 15 Ghent, Belgium.
- 16 8. Norwegian HPV Reference Laboratory, Department of Microbiology and Infection Control, Akerhus
- 17 University Hospital, 1478 Lørenskog, Norway.
- 18 9. Belgian Cancer Centre/Unit of Cancer Epidemiology, Scientific Institute of Public Health, Juliette
- 19 Wytsmanstreet 14, B1050 Brussels, Belgium.
- 20
- Keywords: HPV genotyping assays, The VALGENT framework, cervical cancer screening, Clinical validation of
 HPV assays
- 23
- 24 Word count: 3960
- 25 High lights
- 26

- 27 Abbreviations:
- 28 VALGENT: VALidation of HPV GENoyping Tests
- 29 HPV Human Papillomavirus
- 30 ASCUS: Atypical Squamous Cells of Undetermined Significance
- 31 LSIL: Low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion
- 32 HSIL: High-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion
- 33 CIN: Cervikal intraepitelial neoplasi
- 34 Hr: High Risk
- 35 DNA: Deoxyribonucleic acid
- 36 IARC: International Agency for Research on Cancer
- 37 LBC: liquid based cytology
- 38 PCR: Polymerase Chain Reaction
- 39 EIA: Enzyme immunoassay
- 40 Patobank: Danish Pathology Data Bank
- 41

42 Abstract

43	Background: The VALidation of HPV GENoyping Tests (VALGENT) is an international initiative
44	designed to validate HPV assays with genotyping capability. The VALGENT4 protocol differs from
45	previous VALGENT installments as the sample collection medium is SurePath, and exclusively
46	includes samples from women ≥30 years of age which is concordant with the majority of HPV
47	primary screening guidelines. Here we present the protocol for the fourth installment of the
48	VALGENT framework.
49	Objectives: In VALGENT4 11 HPV assays will be evaluated using two comparator assays based on
50	PCR with the GP5+/6+ primers.
51	Study design: Overall, the VALGENT4 panel consists of 1,297 routine samples comprised of 998
52	unselected, consecutive samples, of which 51 samples had abnormal cytology with 13 women
53	diagnosed with \geq CIN2, and 299 consecutive samples enriched for \geq ASCUS cytology (100 ASCUS,
54	100 LSIL, 99 HSIL) with 106 ≥CIN2 upon follow up. Manipulated and DNA extracted panel samples
55	were characterized with respect to human beta globin (HBB) and overall DNA content and
56	composition to quality assess the panel prior to distribution to the collaborating sites.

57

Result: The relative cellularity (mean CT value of HBB from the Onclarity assay) on the 1,297 LBC samples (CT=24.8) was compared with 293 un-manipulated routine cytology screening samples (CT=23.8). Furthermore, the DNA extracted panel samples was characterized using the Exome iPLEX pro assay, which reports amplifiable copies on individual samples as well as copies of five different base pair lengths. Here the data showed a slightly lower number of amplifiable DNA copies (ratio: 0.7, p=<0.01)) in the VALGENT4 panel samples compared to routine extracted cervical DNA samples</p>

Conclusion: The present manuscript details the manipulation, processing and quality assessment
 of samples used in VALGENT-4. This methodological document may be of value for future
 international projects of HPV test validation.

68 Background

69 Practically all cervical cancers are derived from an Human papillomavirus (HPV) infection [1-3] and the causal 70 relation is firmly established between the development of cervical cancer and at least 12HPV genotypes (HPV 71 16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, and HPV59, all group 1 carcinogens as classified by IARC) [1, 2]. 72 Evidence on the clinical value of HPV testing for triage of borderline cervical cytology and test of cure in 73 women who have been treated for pre-invasive cervical lesions is today widely accepted [4-6]. Additionally, 74 randomized controlled trials have provided evidence for the use of HPV-based screening over cytology as a 75 superior and highly reproducible screening modality to prevent both invasive squamous and adeno-76 carcinomas [5, 7]. As a result, primary HPV-based cervical screening is being implemented in several countries 77 globally, substituting conventional and liquid based cytology (LBC) as the standard of care. Together, this has 78 created a rapidly expanding market for molecular HPV tests, and more than 200 different HPV assays are 79 now commercially available [8]. As primary HPV based screening becomes the standard of care, additional

focus on evidence of clinical performance of HPV assays for use in cervical cancer screening programs is
 required.

82 HPV assays, applicable to cervical screening and disease management contexts, have undergone a rapid 83 technical and scientific evolution over the last decade. The first generation of clinical HPV assays were 84 developed solely to detect oncogenic HPV genotypes using DNA PCR or hybridization techniques and mainly 85 reported the test outcome as either HPV positive or negative, with no individual HPV genotype information 86 available. Newer generations of commercially available HPV assays depend upon a wide variety of DNA and 87 RNA detection techniques and allow for individual reporting of HPV genotypes. Current HPV genotyping 88 assays can basically be divided into three categories 1) Assays with limited genotyping that report separate 89 identification of HPV16 and HPV18 or HPV18/HPV45, combined with pooled detection of the remainder of 90 the oncogenic types, 2) Assays with extended genotyping that report separate identification of \geq 5 genotypes 91 combined with one or more bulk detections of the remainder, and 3) Assays with full genotyping, reporting 92 individual identification of all carcinogenic HPV genotypes [9].

93 Studies have assigned different carcinogenic potential, or risk, to individual HPV genotypes, with HPV16 being 94 the most potent, in particular for squamous carcinomas of the cervix [10-15], followed by HPV18 and to some 95 extent HPV45 associated with adenocarcinomas of the cervix [12, 16-18]. Together, up to 70 % of all cervical 96 cancers are caused by HPV16 and HPV18. An additional five HPV genotypes (HPV31, 33, 45, 52, & 58) add 97 around 19 % to the burden of cervical cancer incidence [16]. Recent study data from long term follow up of 98 a Danish cervical screening cohort, have shown that persistent infections with HPV16, HPV18, HPV31 and 99 HPV33 are associated with an increased risk for development of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 3 or 100 worse (\geq CIN3) compared to the remaining oncogenic genotypes [14, 19]. The six carcinogenic types HPV35, 101 39, 51, 56, 59, 68 in contrast contribute 8-9 % of the cumulative proportion of HPV-induced cervical cancer 102 [16, 20]. Other HPV genotypes incl. HPV66 and 68 are only rarely involved in cervical carcinogenesis, but both 103 are included in all oncogenic HPV assays [16]. Finally, genotype distribution among highly vaccinated cohorts

104 of women have drastically changed affecting the performance of both cytology screening and HPV 105 genotyping [21].

Taken together, knowledge of the genotype(s) in a screening sample may add information on the individual woman's risk of developing disease [12]. Consequently, HPV technology is progressing from simple plus/minus outcomes towards revealing more detailed information on the HPV infection in question. This fact is reflected in a growing number of national screening guidelines where HPV16/HPV18 genotyping is integrated in screening algorithms [5, 22, 23].

111 Fulfillment of the "Guidelines for human papillomavirus DNA test requirements for primary cervical cancer screening in women of 30 years and older" [24], is widely accepted as the methodology by which to validate 112 113 HPV assays for screening use [24, 25] and several limited or extended genotyping HPV assays have fulfilled 114 the international guidelines [25-32]. The international validations are based upon the overall clinical performance but not with respect to the clinical performance of detection of individual genotypes per se. 115 116 Assay specific detection of individual genotypes has instead been addressed in studies of defined plasmid 117 based panels with known copy numbers [33, 34]. The VALidation of HPV GENoyping Tests (VALGENT) 118 initiative was established to create a framework for comparison and validation of HPV genotyping assays 119 using validated comparator assays, similar to the international guidelines [24] and using a relevant sample 120 population with sufficient disease to confirm clinical performance while including primary screening samples 121 [9]. So far, three installments of VALGENT protocols have occurred, where a broad variety of HPV assays with 122 both extended and full genotyping capability have been evaluated [9].

123 Objectives

The fourth installment of the VALGENT framework, the VALGENT4 study, aims to provide high quality comparative data on clinical performance of HPV assays on cervical screening samples. The VALGENT4 protocol differs from previous VALGENT installments as the sample collection medium is BD SurePath[™] (Becton, Dickinson and Company), targets DNA assays only, and exclusively includes only samples from

women ≥30 years of age which is concordant with the majority of HPV primary screening guidelines. In
addition, a high number of assays previously untested on this LBC collection media will be evaluated.
Moreover, the VALGENT4 protocol is unique in the VALGENT iterations by proposing a new standard for
describing the quality of the sample panel included.

132 Study Design

133 Study Description

Sample collection, registration, processing and aliquoting was done at the parent site at the Molecular Pathology Laboratory, Dept. Pathology, Copenhagen University Hospital, Hvidovre. The VALGENT4 samples will be provided to participating scientific partners anonymized in concordance with Danish Data Protection Agency regulations. Aliquot panels were shipped refrigerated from parent laboratory to scientific partners.

138 The VALGENT4 panel was generated using fresh SurePath collected screening samples from the Danish 139 cervical cancer screening program which services a well-screened population with a high background risk of 140 cervical cancer[35]. Moreover, the parent laboratory delivered the panel in two defined versions; pre-141 extracted and quality described DNA for assays requiring DNA as input material (time between collection and 142 DNA extraction: Mean 28 days, min: 2 days, max: 70 days), and original LBC material (time between collection 143 and aliquoting for panel: Mean 16 days, min: 2 days, and max: 67 days) to those laboratories where assays 144 with full, integrated CE-IVD marked work flows were interrogated. The option to have a panel consisting of extracted DNA limits any variability of various 3rd party DNA extraction platforms on HPV assay performance. 145 146 Finally, a novel element entailed characterization of all included panel samples using a MALDI-TOF assay 147 which measured the available DNA as well as the relative level of DNA fragmentation in the individual sample. 148 In total, eleven different HPV genotyping assays from 8 different manufacturers will be evaluated in the 149 VALGENT4 study (Table 1), using GP5+/6+ PCR-EIA with genotyping as comparator in line with previous

150 VALGENT installments.

151 Sample collection

152 The VALGENT4 study was embedded into the routine cytology-based operations of the Department of 153 Pathology, Copenhagen University Hospital, Hvidovre, Denmark. This pathology department receives 154 virtually all cervical cytology screening samples from women residing in the Danish Capital Region, covering 155 almost a third of the entire Danish population. Women are invited for screening every three years at ages 23-49 and every fifth year at ages 50-59. An HPV based "check out test" is offered to women 60-64 years of 156 157 age prior to exiting the screening program at age 65. The Danish cervical cancer screening program is free of charge at point of delivery. Samples are collected predominately by general practitioners or in some cases by 158 159 gynecologists. The Combi-brush (Rovers, Oss, Netherlands) is used for collection of cell material and all 160 cytology samples are collected in SurePath medium.

161 Samples from women aged 30-59 years were included in VALGENT4 study.

162 The VALGENT4 panel consists of 1,297 routine samples collected in two groups as previously described by 163 the VALGENT framework [9]:

- 998 unselected, consecutive samples, of which 51 samples had abnormal cytology (≥atypical
 squamous cells of undetermined origin, (ASCUS)). By subsequent histological follow-up, 13 women
 were diagnosed with cervical intraepithelial neoplasia 2 or more (≥CIN2)
- 299 consecutive routine samples enriched for ≥ASCUS cytology (100 ASCUS, 100 low-grade
 squamous intraepithelial lesions (LSIL), 99 high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions (HSIL)). From
 this population, 106 women were presented with ≥CIN2 upon follow up.
- 170 Three samples were discarded after collection due to lack of general consent after mandatory cross-check in
- 171 Danish human biological material in health research projects register (Vævsanvendelsesregisteret).

172 Sample processing

173 SurePath samples nominally contain 10 ml of liquid upon arrival in the laboratory. Currently, the Department 174 of Pathology processes cervical cytology samples using the BD Totalys instrumentation. Here, the cytology processing uses 8 ml of the original sample, which is column purified for debris and mucus, spun down, 175 176 pelleted, and re-suspended in 1 ml BD Density Reagent. Of this 1 ml medium, 200 µl is used for the cytology 177 slide procedure. In routine, the remaining 800 µl is automatically added the 2 ml of fresh SurePath medium, 178 resulting in a residual volume of 2.8 ml. By calculation, the final volume of the pelleted material in the 2.8 ml 179 holds a 2.3 times higher concentration of cellular material than the original material. In order to approximate 180 the cellularity of the original 10 ml sample, we developed a reconstitution protocol utilizing post-cytology 181 pelleted material mixed with residual original material. The post-cytology pelleted sample was reconstituted 182 by adding 3.6 ml of fresh SurePath medium, resulting in a volume of 6.4 ml (Figure 1). In addition, the residual, original 2 ml surplus material from the cytology procedure was added to the 6.4 ml of reconstituted sample. 183 184 Consequently, the nominal volume of the reconstituted sample available for testing in the VALGENT4 185 protocol was 8.4 ml.

186 The reconstituted samples were split into aliquots for DNA extraction and original sample material upon 187 processing of the samples (see processing time above).

188 DNA extraction

DNA was extracted under strictly controlled conditions using standard operating procedure clinically routine DNA extraction protocol at the parent laboratory based upon the MagNA Pure96 system (Roche Diagnostics, Pleasanton, CA). In short, 4 x1 ml aliquots of reconstituted material were transferred to four Eppendorf tubes, spun down (14,000 rpm, 5 min), the supernatant removed, and the pellet re-suspended in a mix of 180 µl phosphate buffered saline (10x conc. pH 7.4, Pharmacy product) and 20 µl Proteinase K (Recombinant, PCR grade, Roche Diagnostics). The samples were vortexed and incubated one hour at 56°C for Proteinase K digestion. Subsequently, the tubes were incubated for one hour at 90°C to reverse SurePath formaldehyde induced co-valent cross linking. The entire volume was transferred to the MagNA Pure 96 system and
extracted using the MagNA Pure LC Total Nucleic Acid Isolation Kit (Roche Diagnostics). The four DNA eluates
were pooled into one tube to a total volume of 400 µl.

199 Cytology

200 Cytology was reported according to the Bethesda 2001 criteria, using computer assisted reading by BD Focal 201 Point and Slide Wizard systems. ASCUS cases were triaged (reflex testing) routinely with HPV testing (BD 202 Onclarity). All abnormal cytology findings were adjudicated as per standard operating procedures of the 203 parent laboratory by a senior pathologist. Women with LSIL were recommended for repeated cytology 204 testing after 6 months. Women with inadequate cytology were recommended for repeated sampling and 205 testing within 3 months. Women with normal cytology were returned to routine screening according to age-206 specific intervals. The complete screening history of the women included in VALGENT4 was retrieved from 207 the Danish Pathology Data Bank (PatoBank). All clinical follow up was managed according to Danish 208 guidelines, and the outcome of the VALGENT4 HPV testing did not affect clinical follow up recommendations. All HPV testing performed in the framework of VALGENT4 was blinded to cytology and clinical follow-up. 209

210 Histology

All histology available for disease ascertainment was derived from the clinical follow-up of women whose samples were included in the VALGENT4 cohort. The following screening sample outcomes elicit a recommendation for follow-up with colposcopy and biopsy-taking under the current Danish guidelines:

• Women, ≥30 years with ASCUS and HPV-positivity upon triage testing.

Women with HSIL, atypical squamous cells – cannot exclude HSIL (ASC-H), atypical glandular cells
 (AGS) or cytological indications of carcinoma.

Women with continued ASCUS and LSIL cytological diagnosis, as evaluated by the present sample
 and screening history.

Danish Gynecology Guidelines recommend biopsy taking on all aceto-white lesions observed, or a random four quadrants biopsy set where no lesions are visible upon colposcopy. The histological follow-up for all women included in the VALGENT4 study was retrieved from the PatoBank.

222 Data source for clinical outcomes

The PatoBank follow-up period was on average 19 months (min. 18 months; max 20 months) from collection of the VALGENT4 samples. The registration of cervical cytology and histology in the PatoBank has been complete on a national level since 2008.

226 Participating Scientific Partner panel testing

All HPV testing within VALGENT4 was blinded to cytology and histology outcomes from the parent laboratory as well as the HPV test results from other scientific partners. All test results were reported to the Scientific Institute of Public Health, Brussels, where the data are compiled, and performance calculations are conducted [9]. All HPV testing was performed according to manufacturer's specifications. Nine different participating scientific partners (including the parent site) are taking part in the VALGENT4 study (Table 1).

232 Pre-analytical characterization of LBC material and DNA aliquot panel samples.

233 <u>Pre-analytical characterization of cellularity of reconstituted original LBC material</u>

234 All 1,297 panel samples were tested with the BD Onclarity HPV assay (Onclarity) on the automated Viper Lt 235 platform under the VALGENT4 protocol. The Onclarity assay harbors an internal Human Beta globin (HBB) 236 control for assay performance and sample adequacy. To evaluate the resulting cellularity of the resuspension 237 protocol, mean HBB Ct values from the 1,297 VALGENT4 samples were retrieved from the Viper Lt and used 238 as a proxy marker for cellularity. As comparator, mean HBB Ct values of unselected, unannotated routine 239 cervical screening SurePath samples were used as a reference group for cellularity (designated "Control-1", 240 N=293). The Onclarity assay on the Viper Lt Platform workflow has previously been described in detail [27, 241 36]. In summary, 0.5 ml original SurePath material is transferred to a BD CBD medium tube prior to heat 242 treatment for 30 min at 120°C on the BD pre-warm station. The pre-warmed samples are subsequently

transferred to the fully automated Viper Lt platform and tested with the Onclarity assay according to manufacturer's recommendations. Mean HBB values between the two groups were compared using oneway ANOVA testing (IBM SPSS ver. 22).

246 Amplifiable DNA copies and DNA fragmentation evaluation of DNA extracts

The iPLEX PRO ExomeQC assay (Agena Bioscience, Hamburg) is a quantitative assay which reports average amplifiable human DNA copies in a sample, in addition to reporting the relative number of amplifiable fragments of 100, 200, 300, 400, and 500 base pairs (bp) lengths. Here, the assay was used as a quality control of the DNA extracts derived from the reconstituted VALGENT4 panel. As comparator, DNA from unselected, unannotated, and un-manipulated SurePath cervical screening samples collected from the routine service were used as reference (designated "Control-2", N=184).

253 The ExomeQC panel harbors 44 Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs), three markers for gender 254 identification and five copy-number markers in a single multiplexed assay. The assay uses the Matrix Assisted 255 Laser Desorption/Ionization-Time of Flight Mass Spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) technology. An initial 256 multiplex PCR amplification was set up with 2 µl DNA (duration: 2.5 hours), followed by a Shrimp Alkaline 257 Phosphatase (SAP) reaction (dephoshorylize excess Nucleotides, duration: 50 min). This was followed by an 258 iPLEX Pro single base extension PCR reaction in which a mix of oligonucleotide extension primers designed 259 to anneal to the amplified DNA fragments, was added together with an extension enzyme and mass-modified 260 dideoxynucleaotide terminators (duration 3.5 hours). The extension products were subsequently desalted 261 with Clean Resin prior to being loaded into the MassArray Dx Nanodispenser RS1000 (Agena Bioscience, 262 Hamburg), which transfers the analyte to a spectroCHIP. Here the sample crystalize with the matrix on the 263 chip, which was then analyzed on the MassARRAY Dx Analyzer 4 (Agena Bioscience, Hamburg). The crystals 264 were irradiated by a laser, inducing desorption and ionization. The MA4 accelerates the samples to a detector 265 that differentiates genetic variants by molecular mass.

266 Statistical analysis

267 The Onclarity assay has a three well set-up with nine HPV genotype read-outs with internal HBB control 268 included in each well. The Ct-value of the HBB was calculated as an average of the three HBB Ct values in 269 each of the three wells for every panel and control sample. The Onclarity cut-off for all channels is Ct 34.2. 270 For the ExomeQC analysis, inadequate samples (insufficient number of SNPs detected) and samples with 271 outlier values above 10,000,000 units were excluded in the resulting analysis. Mean Ct, mean available 272 copy numbers, 95% confidence interval, standard deviations as well as the one-way ANOVA test used to 273 calculate the statistical difference in cellularity and DNA integrity parameters between the VALGENT4 panel 274 and Control-1 and 2 samples were calculated using IBM SPSS statistics 22.

275 Results

The overall characteristics of the VALGENT4 study population are summarized in Table 2. The mean age
was 42.8 years for all included women, and 40.4 and 42.2 years for the unselected, consecutive and
enriched subpopulations, respectively. In the 998 consecutive, unselected panel samples, 5.1% (51
samples) had abnormal cytology and as of March 2018, 1.3% (13 samples) had ≥CIN2 follow-up histology
registered in the Danish National Pathology Registry (PatoBank). The enriched population of 100 ASCUS,
100 LSIL and 99 HSIL cytology samples resulted in 106 ≥CIN2 histology samples as per register update
March 2018. In total, 119 ≥CIN2 cases were registered at data retrieval ≥20 month after baseline.

Comparing the relative cellularity (mean Ct values of HBB control from the Onclarity assay) on the 1,297
LBC panel samples with data from 293 separately collected, un-manipulated routine cytology screening
samples (Denominated "Control-1" samples, Table 3A). The mean Ct value of the VALGENT4 samples
(Ct=24.8) was found to be on average 1.0 Ct above the mean Ct value of the Control-1 samples (Ct=23.8),
indicating slightly less cellularity in the panel samples compared to native samples.

For DNA extracted panel samples, characterization was done using the Exome iPLEX pro assay, which
 reports amplifiable copies on individual samples as well as amplifiable copies at five different base pair

lengths (Table 3B). Here, the data showed statistically difference in the number of amplifiable DNA copies
comparing the VALGENT4 panel to the Control-2 population (ratio of 0.7, p-value<0.01). When looking at
the relative proportion of different base pair length fragments, the statistical difference was only evident at
the longest 400 and 500 bp fragments (Table 3B).

294 Discussion

The VALGENT framework is an international cooperation aimed at evaluating HPV genotyping tests for clinical use in cervical cancer screening. Here we present the study protocol for the fourth installment of the VALGENT framework, presenting data that provides insight into the underlying quality of the 1,297 VALGENT4 samples. One of the novel elements in VALGENT4 is the resuspension protocol employed which attempts to emulate the original cellularity of un-manipulated screening samples (Figure 1).

300 For research use, most cross-sectional studies where HPV tests are applied rely on stored, residual clinical 301 cytology screening material. In contrast, most HPV tests are intended to run on recently collected and un-302 manipulated original sample material. In addition, for evaluations where multiple assays are applied to 303 samples, the volume of sample may be a rate-limiter. Consequently, to reconcile the need for authenticity 304 with the operational requirements of the study, we developed a reconstitution protocol taking into account 305 the changes introduced to the freshly collected VALGENT4 samples by routine cytology processing. The aim 306 was to reestablish the residual cytology pelleted material to an approximate per volume cellularity 307 corresponding to the original cellularity in un-manipulated clinical samples (Figure 1). This reconstitution 308 protocol was developed with the assistance of Becton, Dickinson and Company, the manufacturer of the 309 SurePath medium, by reverse engineering the concentration and resuspension steps included in standard 310 SurePath processing of original, fresh samples.

As the mean beta globin Ct value of the VALGENT4 samples (Ct=24.8) was found to be 1.0 Ct above the

312 Control-1 samples (Ct=23.8), this suggests that the reconstituted panel samples had slightly lower

313 cellularity than freshly collected, un-manipulated screening samples. However, in the context of the clinical positive-negative Onclarity HPV assay cut off (Ct 34.2), the reconstituted panel samples were fully 314 315 acceptable as clinically, analytical material for HPV analysis. In combination with the ratio data from the 316 ExomeQC analysis, this describes the resulting degree of dilution introduced to the reconstituted panel 317 samples compared to the original sample cellularity. Moreover, the DNA fragmentation analysis showed 318 that fresh, un-manipulated samples had more 400 and 500 bp DNA fragments compared to the 319 reconstituted panel samples. This clear trend downwards between reconstituted samples and original 320 samples in Control-2 was however not unexpected as all sorts of manipulation of cells and DNA leads to 321 some level of deterioration. Whether this has implications for the analytical and clinical performance of 322 HPV assays is unlikely, given that all included assays in the VALGENT4 protocol rely on amplification of less 323 than 500bp HPV fragments (Table 1).

In conclusion, the two QA/QC methods employed indicate that the reconstituted samples used for the
 VALGENT evaluation contain slightly less analytical material compared to fresh, un-manipulated screening
 samples. However, the amount of analytical relevant material is reassuringly within the operational
 boundaries of all included VALGENT4 HPV assays (Table 1).

Besides the reconstitution protocol, VALGENT4 introduced central organization of DNA extraction for all
 assays running on this input material. This was chosen to eliminate any variation introduced at the level of
 DNA extraction methodology. Of the 11 assays evaluated in VALGENT4, only BD Onclarity, Seegene Anyplex
 HPV28/HPV II HR and Roche Cobas HPV assays required original SurePath LBC material as input material for
 analysis.

Until now, three VALGENT installments have been completed with several genotyping assays tested. The data from these VALGENT studies has showed that the VALGENT framework provides a good base for crosssectional clinical validation of HPV genotyping assays, by use of well annotated cervical screening samples collected in various screening programs around Europe [9]. A common feature of the VALGENT installments

is the use of GP5+/6+ PCR-EIA as the comparator assay to all other included assays. This brings the VALGENT
in line with the stipulations within the international validation Guidelines of Meijer et al.

339 The first VALGENT study, VALGENT-1, included cervical cancer screening samples provided by the AML 340 laboratory (Antwerp, Belgium) and included validation of four full genotyping assays [37-39]. The VALGENT-341 1 framework included SurePath samples from biobanked screening samples, and thus not freshly collected 342 samples as included here. The second VALGENT study, VALGENT-2, used ThinPrep, involved the collection 343 of samples from the Scottish Cervical Screening Programme via the Scottish HPV Reference laboratory and 344 involved the evaluation of four assays with genotyping capability [40-43]. VALGENT-3 also included 345 ThinPrep collected cervical cancer screening samples, this time from the Laboratory for Molecular 346 Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia [44] and evaluated 10 different HPV 347 assays with different degrees of genotyping capability. VALGENT-1 [37-39] and VALGENT-2 [40-43] have 348 both provided several peer-reviewed papers and publications from VALGENT-3 are underway [32, 44]. 349 Formal clinical validation of HPV assays for use in screening has primarily been undertaken on ThinPrep collected samples [24, 26, 28, 30, 41, 45, 46], with only one assay to date, the BD Onclarity, being validated 350 351 on both ThinPrep [26] and SurePath collected samples [27]. Following this, it will be interesting to see how 352 the HPV genotyping assays included in VALGENT4 perform on SurePath collected screening samples in this 353 large-scale performance comparison.

354 Ethical and Data Inspection Agency approvals:

355 The study was approved by the Danish Data Inspection Agency J. No. AHH-2017-024, I-Suite: 05356. EU-

356 GDPR compliant data handler agreement was established between the principal site Hvidovre Hospital and

- 357 the Statistical analysis unit at Sciensano, Brussels. All collected samples were verified for non-compliance in
- 358 the Danish human biological material in health research projects register (Vævsanvendelsesregisteret).
- 359

360 Acknowledgement

361 We wish to thank the staff of the Molecular Pathology Laboratory, Dept. Pathology, Hvidovre Hospital,

362 Copenhagen, for their diligent work on assembling, aliquiting and processing the Valgent4 panel samples.

363 Moreover, we would like to thank all the participating company partners who engaged in this private-public364 research project.

365 Declaration of competing interest

366 VALGENT is an independent researcher induced research project, set up by Sciensano, where

367 manufacturers can have their HPV assays evaluated, under condition to provide equipment and kits and to

368 cover costs for laboratory work and statistical analysis. Manufacturers cannot influence publication of

369 manuscripts.

- 370 Jesper Bonde attended meetings with various HPV device manufacturers. JB has received honoraria from
- 371 Hologic/Gen-probe, Roche, Qiagen, Genomica, and BD Diagnostics for lectures. Hvidovre Hospital has
- ongoing contracts with BD Diagnostics, Genomica, Self-Screen and EU Horizon2020.
- 373 Ditte Møller Ejegod attended meetings with various HPV device manufacturers.
- 374 Joakim Dillner has previously received research grants to his institution for research on HPV vacciens from
- an HPV vaccine manufacturer (Merck/SPMSD). The Karolinska University Hospital has ongoing contracts

376 with several manufacturers of HPV diagnostics, including Roche and Genomica.

- 377 Daniëlle Heideman: minority stock portfolio in Self-screen B.V., a spin-off company of VU University
- 378 Medical Center Amsterdam. Self-screen B.V holds patents related to the work, and has developed and
- 379 manufactured the HPV-Risk Assay. DAMH has been on the speaker's bureau of Qiagen and serves
- 380 occasionally on the scientific advisory board of Pfizer and Bristol-Meyer Squibb.
- 381 Wim Quint: No conflicts of interest to declare

- 382 Miguel Angel Pavon Ribas: received reagents for HPV testing at no cost from Roche, SeeGene, Qiagen and
- 383 Genomica for research purposes.
- 384 Elizaveta Padalko: No conflicts of interest to declare
- 385 Irene Kraus Christiansen: No conflicts of interest to declare
- 386 Kate Cuschieri: No conflicts of interest to declare, KCs institution has received research funding and or
- 387 associated gratis consumable from the following in the last 3 years: Hologic, Cepheid, Qiagen, Becton-
- 388 Dickinson, Euroimmun, SelfScreen, LifeRiver, Genomica, Genefirst
- 389 Marc Arbyn and Lan Xu were supported by COHEAHR Network (grant No. 603019), coordinated by the Free
- 390 University of Amsterdam (The Netherlands), funded by the 7th Framework Programme of DG Research and
- 391 Innovation, European Commission (Brussels, Belgium)
- 392 Copenhagen University Hospital, Hvidovre: Reagents and instrumentation for testing Onclarity, CLART
- 393 HPV4s and MassArray HPV test were received free of charge from the manufacturers for the duration of
- the testing. Limited co-funding for the project was obtained as part of the collaboration agreement with
- the manufacturers Becton, Dickinson and Company, Genomica SAU, and Agena Biotech Gmbh.
- 396 Norwegian HPV Reference Lab: No external funding was obtained for this study
- 397 Ghent University: Reagents for testing INNO-LIPA genotyping Extra II test was received free of charge from
- 398 the manufacturer for the duration of the testing.
- 399 Infection and Cancer Laboratory, Barcelona: No external funding was obtained for this study
- 400 VU University Medical center: No external funding was obtained for this study
- 401 Scottish HPV reference lab: Reagents and instrumentation for testing LifeRiver Venus, LifeRiver Harmonia,
- 402 and Genefirst HPV-MPA Genotyping Test were received free of charge from the manufacturers for the

- 403 duration of the testing. Limited co-funding for the project was obtained as part of the collaboration
- 404 agreement with the manufacturers Zhanghai Biotech (LifeRiver) and GeneFirst (HPV-MPA Genotyping Test)
- 405 DDL Diagnostic Laboratory: No external funding was obtained for this study
- 406 Karolinska University hospital: No external funding was obtained for this study.
- 407 Sciensano, the institute where M.A. is employed, received support from Becton Dickinson, Genomica SAU,
- 408 Agena Biotech Gmbh, Zhanghai Biotech (LifeRiver), GeneFirst and FujiRebio for methodological and
- 409 statistical work as described in the VALGENT Network (Arbyn et al, J Clin Virol 2016).

410 References

- Bouvard V, Baan R, Straif K, Grosse Y, Secretan B, El Ghissassi F, Benbrahim-Tallaa L, Guha N, Freeman
 C, Galichet L *et al*: A review of human carcinogens--Part B: biological agents. Lancet Oncol 2009,
 10(4):321-322.
- 414 2. Group IMw: IARCH Monographs on the Evaluation of Carconogenic Risks of Humans. Human
 415 Papilomavirus, Lyon: IARCPress 2007, 90.
- 416 3. Petry KU, Liebrich C, Luyten A, Zander M, Iftner T: Surgical staging identified false HPV-negative
 417 cases in a large series of invasive cervical cancers. *Papillomavirus Res* 2017, 4:85-89.
- 4184.Cubie HA, Cuschieri K: Understanding HPV tests and their appropriate applications. Cytopathology419: official journal of the British Society for Clinical Cytology 2013, 24(5):289-308.
- Arbyn M, Ronco G, Anttila A, Meijer CJ, Poljak M, Ogilvie G, Koliopoulos G, Naucler P,
 Sankaranarayanan R, Peto J: Evidence regarding human papillomavirus testing in secondary
 prevention of cervical cancer. Vaccine 2012, 30 Suppl 5:F88-99.
- Arbyn M, Roelens J, Simoens C, Buntinx F, Paraskevaidis E, Martin-Hirsch PP, Prendiville WJ: Human
 papillomavirus testing versus repeat cytology for triage of minor cytological cervical lesions.
 Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2013(3):CD008054.
- Ronco G, Dillner J, Elfstrom KM, Tunesi S, Snijders PJ, Arbyn M, Kitchener H, Segnan N, Gilham C,
 Giorgi-Rossi P *et al*: Efficacy of HPV-based screening for prevention of invasive cervical cancer:
 follow-up of four European randomised controlled trials. *Lancet* 2014, 383(9916):524-532.
- 429 8. Poljak M, Cuzick J, Kocjan BJ, Iftner T, Dillner J, Arbyn M: Nucleic acid tests for the detection of alpha
 430 human papillomaviruses. *Vaccine* 2012, 30 Suppl 5:F100-106.
- 431 9. Arbyn M, Depuydt C, Benoy I, Bogers J, Cuschieri K, Schmitt M, Pawlita M, Geraets D, Heard I, Gheit
 432 T *et al*: VALGENT: A protocol for clinical validation of human papillomavirus assays. *J Clin Virol* 2016,
 433 76 Suppl 1:S14-21.
- 43410.Khan MJ, Castle PE, Lorincz AT, Wacholder S, Sherman M, Scott DR, Rush BB, Glass AG, Schiffman M:435The elevated 10-year risk of cervical precancer and cancer in women with human papillomavirus436(HPV) type 16 or 18 and the possible utility of type-specific HPV testing in clinical practice. J Natl437Cancer Inst 2005, 97(14):1072-1079.
- 438 11. Castellsague X, Ault KA, Bosch FX, Brown D, Cuzick J, Ferris DG, Joura EA, Garland SM, Giuliano AR,
 439 Hernandez-Avila M *et al*: Human papillomavirus detection in cervical neoplasia attributed to 12
 440 high-risk human papillomavirus genotypes by region. *Papillomavirus Res* 2016, 2:61-69.

- 441 12. Cuzick J, Wheeler C: Need for expanded HPV genotyping for cervical screening. *Papillomavirus Res*442 2016, 2:112-115.
- I3. Joura EA, Ault KA, Bosch FX, Brown D, Cuzick J, Ferris D, Garland SM, Giuliano AR, Hernandez-Avila
 M, Huh W *et al*: Attribution of 12 high-risk human papillomavirus genotypes to infection and
 cervical disease. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2014, 23(10):1997-2008.
- Smelov V, Elfstrom KM, Johansson AL, Eklund C, Naucler P, Arnheim-Dahlstrom L, Dillner J: Long-term
 HPV type-specific risks of high-grade cervical intraepithelial lesions: a 14-year follow-up of a
 randomized primary HPV screening trial. Int J Cancer 2015, 136(5):1171-1180.
- Kjaer SK, Munk C, Junge J, Iftner T: Carcinogenic HPV prevalence and age-specific type distribution
 in 40,382 women with normal cervical cytology, ASCUS/LSIL, HSIL, or cervical cancer: what is the
 potential for prevention? *Cancer Causes Control* 2014, 25(2):179-189.
- 452 16. Arbyn M, Tommasino M, Depuydt C, Dillner J: Are 20 human papillomavirus types causing cervical
 453 cancer? J Pathol 2014, 234(4):431-435.
- 454 17. Bzhalava D, Guan P, Franceschi S, Dillner J, Clifford G: A systematic review of the prevalence of
 455 mucosal and cutaneous human papillomavirus types. *Virology* 2013, 445(1-2):224-231.
- Li N, Franceschi S, Howell-Jones R, Snijders PJ, Clifford GM: Human papillomavirus type distribution
 in 30,848 invasive cervical cancers worldwide: Variation by geographical region, histological type
 and year of publication. Int J Cancer 2011, 128(4):927-935.
- 459 19. Kjaer SK, Frederiksen K, Munk C, Iftner T: Long-term absolute risk of cervical intraepithelial
 460 neoplasia grade 3 or worse following human papillomavirus infection: role of persistence. J Natl
 461 Cancer Inst 2010, 102(19):1478-1488.
- Serrano B, de Sanjose S, Tous S, Quiros B, Munoz N, Bosch X, Alemany L: Human papillomavirus
 genotype attribution for HPVs 6, 11, 16, 18, 31, 33, 45, 52 and 58 in female anogenital lesions. *Eur J Cancer* 2015, 51(13):1732-1741.
- 465 21. Kavanagh K, Pollock KG, Cuschieri K, Palmer T, Cameron RL, Watt C, Bhatia R, Moore C, Cubie H,
 466 Cruickshank M *et al*: Changes in the prevalence of human papillomavirus following a national
 467 bivalent human papillomavirus vaccination programme in Scotland: a 7-year cross-sectional study.
 468 Lancet Infect Dis 2017, 17(12):1293-1302.
- 469 22. Huh WK, Ault KA, Chelmow D, Davey DD, Goulart RA, Garcia FA, Kinney WK, Massad LS, Mayeaux EJ,
 470 Saslow D *et al*: Use of primary high-risk human papillomavirus testing for cervical cancer screening:
 471 interim clinical guidance. *Obstet Gynecol* 2015, **125**(2):330-337.
- 472 23. Arbyn M, Xu L, Verdoodt F, Cuzick J, Szarewski A, Belinson JL, Wentzensen N, Gage JC, Khan MJ:
 473 Genotyping for Human Papillomavirus Types 16 and 18 in Women With Minor Cervical Lesions: A
 474 Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Ann Intern Med 2017, 166(2):118-127.
- 475 24. Meijer CJ, Berkhof J, Castle PE, Hesselink AT, Franco EL, Ronco G, Arbyn M, Bosch FX, Cuzick J, Dillner
 476 J *et al*: Guidelines for human papillomavirus DNA test requirements for primary cervical cancer
 477 screening in women 30 years and older. *International journal of cancer Journal international du*478 *cancer* 2009, **124**(3):516-520.
- 479 25. Arbyn M, Snijders PJ, Meijer CJ, Berkhof J, Cuschieri K, Kocjan BJ, Poljak M: Which high-risk HPV
 480 assays fulfil criteria for use in primary cervical cancer screening? *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2015, 21(9):817-826.
- 482 26. Ejegod D, Serrano, I., Cuschieri, KS., Nussbaumer, WA., Vaughan, LM., Ahmad, AS., Cuzick, J., Bonde.,
 483 J.: Clinical Validation of the BD Onclarity HPV Assay Using a Non-Inferiority Test. *Medical*484 *Microbiology & Diagnosis* 2013, S3(doi:10.4172/2161-0703.S3-003).
- 485 27. Ejegod D, Bottari F, Pedersen H, Sandri MT, Bonde J: The BD Onclarity HPV Assay on Samples
 486 Collected in SurePath Medium Meets the International Guidelines for Human Papillomavirus Test
 487 Requirements for Cervical Screening. Journal of clinical microbiology 2016, 54(9):2267-2272.
- 488 28. Heideman DA, Hesselink AT, Berkhof J, van Kemenade F, Melchers WJ, Daalmeijer NF, Verkuijten M,
 489 Meijer CJ, Snijders PJ: Clinical validation of the cobas 4800 HPV test for cervical screening purposes.
 490 J Clin Microbiol 2011, 49(11):3983-3985.

- 49129.Hesselink AT, Heideman DA, Berkhof J, Topal F, Pol RP, Meijer CJ, Snijders PJ: Comparison of the
clinical performance of PapilloCheck human papillomavirus detection with that of the GP5+/6+-
PCR-enzyme immunoassay in population-based cervical screening. Journal of clinical microbiology
2010, 48(3):797-801.
- Hesselink AT, Meijer CJ, Poljak M, Berkhof J, van Kemenade FJ, van der Salm ML, Bogaarts M, Snijders
 PJ, Heideman DA: Clinical validation of the Abbott RealTime High Risk HPV assay according to the
 guidelines for human papillomavirus DNA test requirements for cervical screening. J Clin Microbiol
 2013, 51(7):2409-2410.
- Hesselink AT, Sahli R, Berkhof J, Snijders PJ, van der Salm ML, Agard D, Bleeker MC, Heideman DA:
 Clinical validation of Anyplex II HPV HR Detection according to the guidelines for HPV test
 requirements for cervical cancer screening. J Clin Virol 2016, 76:36-39.
- 50232.Xu L, Ostrbenk A, Poljak M, Arbyn M: Assessment of the Roche Linear Array HPV Genotyping Test503within the VALGENT framework. J Clin Virol 2018, 98:37-42.
- 504 33. Eklund C, Forslund O, Wallin KL, Dillner J: Continuing global improvement in human papillomavirus
 505 DNA genotyping services: The 2013 and 2014 HPV LabNet international proficiency studies. *J Clin* 506 *Virol* 2018, 101:74-85.
- 50734.Muhr LSA, Eklund C, Dillner J: Towards quality and order in human papillomavirus research. Virology5082018, 519:74-76.
- So9 35. Pedersen K, Fogelberg S, Thamsborg LH, Clements M, Nygard M, Kristiansen IS, Lynge E, Sparen P,
 Kim JJ, Burger EA: An overview of cervical cancer epidemiology and prevention in Scandinavia. Acta
 Obstet Gynecol Scand 2018, 97(7):795-807.
- 512 36. Ejegod DM, Junge J, Franzmann M, Kirschner B, Bottari F, Sideri M, Sandri MT, Bonde J: Clinical and
 513 analytical performance of the BD Onclarity HPV assay for detection of CIN2+ lesions on SurePath
 514 samples. Papillomavirus Res 2016, 2:31-37.
- Schmitt M, Depuydt C, Benoy I, Bogers J, Antoine J, Pawlita M, Arbyn M, group Vs: Viral load of high risk human papillomaviruses as reliable clinical predictor for the presence of cervical lesions.
 Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2013, 22(3):406-414.
- Schmitt M, Depuydt C, Benoy I, Bogers J, Antoine J, Arbyn M, Pawlita M, Group VS: Prevalence and
 viral load of 51 genital human papillomavirus types and three subtypes. Int J Cancer 2013,
 132(10):2395-2403.
- 52139.Schmitt M, Depuydt C, Benoy I, Bogers J, Antoine J, Arbyn M, Pawlita M, Group VS: Multiple human522papillomavirus infections with high viral loads are associated with cervical lesions but do not523differentiate grades of cervical abnormalities. J Clin Microbiol 2013, 51(5):1458-1464.
- 40. Cuschieri K, Geraets D, Cuzick J, Cadman L, Moore C, Vanden Broeck D, Padalko E, Quint W, Arbyn M:
 Performance of a Cartridge-Based Assay for Detection of Clinically Significant Human
 Papillomavirus (HPV) Infection: Lessons from VALGENT (Validation of HPV Genotyping Tests). J Clin
 Microbiol 2016, 54(9):2337-2342.
- 41. Cuschieri K, Geraets DT, Moore C, Quint W, Duvall E, Arbyn M: Clinical and Analytical Performance
 of the Onclarity HPV Assay Using the VALGENT Framework. Journal of clinical microbiology 2015,
 530 53(10):3272-3279.
- 42. Geraets DT, Cuschieri K, de Koning MN, van Doorn LJ, Snijders PJ, Meijer CJ, Quint WG, Arbyn M:
 532 Clinical evaluation of a GP5+/6+-based luminex assay having full high-risk human papillomavirus
 533 genotyping capability and an internal control. *J Clin Microbiol* 2014, 52(11):3996-4002.
- Heard I, Cuschieri K, Geraets DT, Quint W, Arbyn M: Clinical and analytical performance of the
 PapilloCheck HPV-Screening assay using the VALGENT framework. J Clin Virol 2016, 81:6-11.
- 53644.Polman NJ, Ostrbenk A, Xu L, Snijders PJF, Meijer C, Poljak M, Heideman DAM, Arbyn M: Evaluation537of the clinical performance of the HPV-Risk assay using the VALGENT-3 panel. J Clin Microbiol 2017.
- 53845.Boers A, Wang R, Slagter-Menkema L, van Hemel BM, Ghyssaert H, van der Zee AG, Wisman GB,539Schuuring E: Clinical validation of the Cervista HPV HR test according to the international guidelines

- 540for human papillomavirus test requirements for cervical cancer screening. J Clin Microbiol 2014,54152(12):4391-4393.
- Heideman DA, Hesselink AT, van Kemenade FJ, Iftner T, Berkhof J, Topal F, Agard D, Meijer CJ, Snijders
 PJ: The Aptima HPV assay fulfills the cross-sectional clinical and reproducibility criteria of
 international guidelines for human papillomavirus test requirements for cervical screening. J Clin
 Microbiol 2013, 51(11):3653-3657.
- 546

Valgent-4 included Assays	Aliqout	Amplicon length	Scientific partner		
BD Onclarity HPV Assay	Original Material	79-137 bp.	Copenhagen University		
Genomica CLART HPV4	DNA	465 bp.	Hospital, Pathology		
assay			Laboratory, Hvidovre,		
Agena HPV MassArray	DNA	90-122 bp.	Denmark		
assay					
Roche cobas 4800 HPV Test	Original Material	~200 bp	Norwegian HPV Reference		
			Lab,		
			Akerhus University Hospital		
			Norway		
Fujirebio INNO-LiPA	DNA	65 bp	Ghent University, Ghent,		
Genotyping Extra II test			Belgium		
SeeGene Anyplex HPV28	DNA or Original	~150 bp	Infection and Cancer		
detection test	Material		Laboratory. Cancer		
Seegene Anyplex II HPV		~150 bp	Epidemiology Research		
test			Program, Barcelona, Spain		
Self-screen HPV-Risk assay	DNA	~150 bp	VU University Medical		
			Center, Amsterdam, The		
	DNIA	4501	Netherlands		
Genetirst HPV-MPA	DNA	150 bp.	Scottish HPV Reference Lab,		
Genotyping Test	DNA	100 200 h.c	Royal Infirmary of		
Liferiver Harmony test	DNA	100-200 bp.	Edinburgh		
Liferiver venus tes		100-200 bp.	Scotland		
Comparator assays	DNA				
GP5+/6+ EIA Luminex	DNA		DDL Diagnostic Laboratory,		
			Rijswijk, The Netherlands		
GP5+/6+ PCR EIA kit HPV	DNA		International HPV Refe-		
GP HK			rence Center, Karolinska		
			University Hospital,		
			Stockholm, Sweden		

Table 1: *HPV genotyping assays evaluated, concurrent material required and scientific partners under the VALGENT4* 550 *study protocol*

2018 Bonde et al the Valgent4 protocol complete

	Screening population		Enriched	population ¹	Total population		
Characteristics	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	
Age							
Mean Age in	42.8 (36.0-49.0)		40.4 (3	4.0-45.8)	42.2 (36.0 to 48.0)		
years							
30-39	383	38.4%	148	49.8%	531	41.0%	
40-49	408	40.9%	111	37.4%	519	40.1%	
50-59	207	20.7%	38	12.8%	245	18.9%	
30-59	998	100%	297	100%	1,295	100%	
Cytology							
NILM	947	94.9%	0	0%	947	73.0%	
ASCUS	6	0.6%	100	33.3%	106	8.2%	
LSIL	21	2.1%	100	33.3%	121	9.3%	
HSIL. AGC. ASC-H	24	2.4%	99	33.3%	123	9.5%	
Total	998	100%	299	100%	1,297	100%	
Histology							
No histology	911	91.3%	55	18.4%	966	74.6%	
Normal	57	5.7%	82	27.4%	139	10.7%	
CIN1	17	1.7%	54	18.1%	71	5.5%	
CIN2	5	0.5%	34	11.4%	39	3.0%	
CIN3	7	0.7%	64	21.4%	71	5.5%	
Cancer	1	0.1%	8	2.7%	9	0.7%	
All histologies	87	8.7%	242	80.9%	329	25.4%	
<cin2< td=""><td>74</td><td>7.4%</td><td>136</td><td>45.5%</td><td>210</td><td>16.2%</td></cin2<>	74	7.4%	136	45.5%	210	16.2%	
≥CIN2	13	1.3%	106	35.5%	119	9.2%	

Table 2: Overall characteristics of the VALGENT4 study population

5551. Two women enrolled in Valgent4 each had two samples included; therefore therefor 299 samples from 297556women are included in the enriched cohort.

558

Group	Ν	Mean	Ratio ¹	St.d	95%		Min	Max	P-value ²
		HBB Ct			Confidence				
					Incidence				
					Lower	Upper			
Valgent4	1,297	24.8	1.04	1.5	24.7	24.9	20.8	32.3	0.00
Control1	293	23.8	1.00	1.5	23.6	24.0	20.5	32.0	

Table 3A: *Quality assurance of the VALGENT4 LBC samples: Mean HBB Ct value as approx. marker for cellularity* 1: The Control1 population was used as a reference

561 2. p-value is calculated using the one-way ANOVA test

	Group	N ¹	Mean	Ratio ²	Standard	95% Confidence		Min	Max	P-
			amplifiable		deviation	Incidence				value ³
			copies			Lower	Upper			
Avg	Valgent4	1,261	89,037	0.72	133,317	81,672	96,403	186	1,801,335	0.001
Сору	Control2	182	123,942	1.00	114,870	107,141	140,743	3,000	879,343	
100	Valgent4	1,261	34,782	1.06	84,948	30,089	39,475	369	1,633,069	0.757
bp	Control2	182	32,802	1.00	37,890	27,260	38,344	780	312,634	
200	Valgent4	1,236	54,874	0.81	160,613	45,911	63,837	20	4,443,905	0.295
bp	Control2	181	67,766	1.00	104,680	52,413	83,119	569	795,228	
300	Valgent4	1,261	156,201	0.77	380,175	135,198	177,205	193	8,254,550	0.124
bp	Control2	182	202,641	1.00	380,425	147,000	258,282	3,669	3,960,481	
400	Valgent4	1,260	104,438	0.67	268,635	89,590	119,285	36	6,068,401	0.014
bp	Control2	182	156,585	1.00	268,209	117,356	195,813	3,726	2,904,381	
500	Valgent4	1,249	93,544	0.59	396,191	71,550	115,537	48	8,947,189	0.031
bp	Control2	181	159,193	1.00	265,673	120,227	198,159	5,843	1,804,460	

Table 3B: Quality assurance of the VALGENT4 DNA samples: Amplifiable copy numbers at average and five different
 base pair length

1: Samples with insufficient number of SNPs were excluded, in addition a sample could have a valid SNPs number, but

567 invalid result in one of the base pair groups, which mean that the numbers in the different base pair groups vary. In

addition, samples with outlier values of above 10,000,000 were excluded from both sample sets.

2: The Control2 population was used as a reference

570 3: The p-value is calculated using the one-way ANOVA test

571

572

