

1 Self-reported eating disorder symptoms before and after gastric bypass and duodenal switch for super-  
2 obesity – a 5 year follow-up study

3  
4 Original contributions

5 Morseth MS (MSc)<sup>1</sup>, Hanvold SE (MSc)<sup>2</sup>, Rø Ø (MD, PhD)<sup>3,4</sup>, Risstad H (MD)<sup>2</sup>, Mala T (MD, PhD)<sup>2</sup>, Šaltytė Benth J (PhD)<sup>5,7</sup>, Engström M  
6 (PhD, R.N)<sup>6</sup>, Olbers T (MD, PhD)<sup>6</sup>, Henjum, S (PhD)<sup>1</sup>

7  
8 <sup>1</sup> Oslo and Akershus University College of Applied Science, Postbox 4 St. Olavs plass, 0130 Oslo, Norway. [sigrun.henjum@hioa.no](mailto:sigrun.henjum@hioa.no)

9  
10 <sup>2</sup> Department of Morbid Obesity and Bariatric Surgery, Oslo University Hospital, Postboks 4959 Nydalen, 0424 Oslo, Norway. [susanna@hanvold.no](mailto:susanna@hanvold.no),  
11 [hiriss@outlook.com](mailto:hiriss@outlook.com), [tommal@ous-hf.no](mailto:tommal@ous-hf.no)

12  
13 <sup>3</sup> Division of Mental Health and Addiction, Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway. [oeyvro@ous-hf.no](mailto:oeyvro@ous-hf.no)

14  
15 <sup>4</sup> Regional Department for Eating Disorders, Division of Mental Health and Addiction, Oslo University Hospital, Ullevål, Oslo, Norway

16  
17 <sup>5</sup> Institute of Clinical Medicine, Campus Ahus, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway. [jurate.saltyte-benth@medisin.uio.no](mailto:jurate.saltyte-benth@medisin.uio.no)

18  
19 <sup>6</sup> Department of Gastrointestinal Research and Education, Institute of Clinical Sciences, Sahlgrenska Academy at the University of Gothenburg, Sahlgrenska  
20 University Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden. [my.engstrom@vgregion.se](mailto:my.engstrom@vgregion.se), [torsten.olbers@gu.se](mailto:torsten.olbers@gu.se)

21  
22 <sup>7</sup>HØKH, Research Centre, Akershus University Hospital, Norway

23  
24  
25 Correspondence to: Marianne Sandsmark Morseth, Oslo and Akershus University College of Applied Science, Pb 4 St.Olavs plass, 0130 Oslo,  
26 Norway, phone: +47 907 97 527, e-mail: [marianne.morseth@gmail.com](mailto:marianne.morseth@gmail.com)

27 **Short title: Global EDE-Q score RYGB vs. DS**

28

29 **Grants:** The South-Eastern Norway Regional Health Authority, Oslo University Hospital and Sahlgrenska University Hospital supported the  
30 trial financially. The funding source had no role in the design of the study; collection, analysis, and interpretation of the data; or drafting of the  
31 manuscript.

32

33 **Abstract**

34 **Background:** This study assessed eating disorder pathology in persons with obesity before and after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) and  
35 biliopancreatic diversion with duodenal switch (DS), in a 5 year follow-up study. **Methods:** Sixty participants with BMI 50 - 60 kg/m<sup>2</sup> were  
36 randomly assigned to RYGB (n = 31) or DS (n = 29). The participants completed the Eating Disorder Examination-Questionnaire (EDE-Q)  
37 before and 6 months, 1 y, 2 y and 5 y after surgery. **Results:** Before surgery the prevalence of objective bulimic episodes was 29% in the RYGB  
38 group and 32% in the DS group. The prevalence improved during the first 12 months after surgery in both groups. After 5 years the prevalence of  
39 objective bulimic episodes was 22% in the RYGB group and 7% in the DS group. The difference between groups throughout follow-up was non-  
40 significant (logistic regression model). A linear mixed model showed that Global EDE-Q score was not a significant predictor for weight loss  
41 after surgery, but participants reporting objective bulimic episodes before surgery had significantly lower BMI than those with no episodes after  
42 2 (p=0.042) and 5 (p=0.013) years. Global EDE-Q score was significantly lower in the DS group after 5 years (p=0.009) (linear mixed model).  
43 **Conclusion:** Objective bulimic episodes but not global EDE-Q score before surgery predicted greater weight loss after RYGB and DS. The DS  
44 group had a significantly lower global EDE-Q score than the RYGB group 5 years after surgery.

45

46

47

48

49

50 **Introduction**

51           Bariatric surgery is currently the most viable weight loss intervention in persons with obesity. Postoperative weight loss, however, varies  
52 considerably. Some patients experience suboptimal weight loss and some regain a substantial portion of the initial weight lost [1, 2].  
53 Psychological factors [3], changes in gut hormones and appetite [4], and pre- and postsurgical eating patterns [5-8] may all contribute to explain  
54 this variation in weight loss outcome. A recent meta-analysis showed that preoperative weight loss might be positively associated with post  
55 bariatric weight loss, while preoperative BMI, super-obesity and personality disorders were negatively associated with weight loss after surgery  
56 [9]. While some studies suggest that preoperative eating behavior does not predict postoperative weight loss [9-14], postoperative binge eating,  
57 loss of control (LOC) eating and grazing, have consistently predicted poorer outcome in regard to weight loss and psychosocial measures after  
58 surgery [5-8, 15, 16]. Reports on Binge eating as predictor for postoperative weight loss are numerous [8, 17-19] but controversy still remains  
59 regarding the role of preoperative binge eating as predictor of treatment success [7]. Furthermore, few studies have evaluated effects of different  
60 surgical procedures in regard to eating habits. In general more research is needed to investigate whether presurgical factors can predict weight  
61 loss after bariatric surgery, as substantiated knowledge may help target interventions and improve outcomes [9]. Our longitudinal randomized  
62 controlled study with RYGB and DS patients may shed more light on the complex relationship between pre- and postoperative eating disorder  
63 behavior and cognitions and weight loss after bariatric surgery.

64

65           The aim of this study was to report and compare the prevalence of eating disorder pathology after RYGB and DS, and to investigate if  
66 preoperative eating disorder symptoms predict postoperative weight loss after these two surgical procedures in a super obese population.

67

68

## 69 **Materials and methods**

70 This report is part of a randomized controlled trial conducted in 2 Scandinavian University hospitals. The primary endpoint of the trial  
71 was change in BMI after surgery as reported on previously [20]. The design of the trial has previously been described [20-23]. Individuals with  
72 BMI ( $\text{kg/m}^2$ ) 50 to 60, age 20-50 years, and failed attempts of sustained weight loss by medical and life style measures were included. The  
73 enrollment period was from February 2006 to August 2007. Participants were randomized to either laparoscopic RYGB or laparoscopic DS [20,  
74 22]. The RYGB group had a 25-ml gastric pouch, 150 cm alimentary limb and 50 cm biliopancreatic limb. The DS group received a sleeve  
75 gastrectomy along a nasogastric tube of 30–32 F, a 200 cm alimentary limb, and 100 cm common channel [20]. All participants were informed  
76 about possible benefits, risks and side-effects of respective surgery. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in  
77 the study. The Regional Ethics Committees for Medical Research in Eastern Norway and Gothenburg, Sweden approved the study which was  
78 registered in Clinicaltrials.gov (NCT00327912).

### 79 Eating disorder examination (EDE-Q)

80 All participants filled out a Swedish or Norwegian translation of The Eating Disorder Examination-Questionnaire (EDE-Q) at their  
81 baseline examination, and subsequently at 6 months, 1, 2 and 5 years follow-up. EDE-Q has well-established psychometric properties [24]. A  
82 non-validated version of EDE-Q was used in this study. A later Norwegian and Swedish version of EDE-Q (version 6.0) with minor changes has,  
83 however, been validated [25-27]. The EDE-Q focuses on the previous 28 days and measures key eating disorder behavior- and cognitive  
84 symptoms. The questionnaire distinguishes between three types of binge eating: objective bulimic episodes (eating an unusually large amount of

85 food with a sense of having lost control over eating), subjective bulimic episodes (eating not a large amount of food but have a sense of having  
86 lost control over eating) and objective overeating (eating an unusually large amount of food without a sense of having lost control over eating). In  
87 addition, questions about self-induced vomiting, use of laxatives or diuretics and intensive exercise to control shape or weight are included.  
88 Exercise is defined as intensive when it is “driven” or “compulsive” to control weight, shape or amount of fat, or to burn off calories. The  
89 questions about eating disorders cognitions are rated on a 7-point Likert scale from 0-6, where a higher score indicates increased eating disorder  
90 symptoms. The EDE-Q consists of one global score and four subscales (restriction and eating-, weight-, and shape concern). Cut-off is usually  
91 defined in normal weight populations as mean global EDE-Q score plus one standard deviation which is about 2.5-2.8 depending on different  
92 normative samples [25, 27, 28]. Owing to scant evidence supporting the original 4-factor subscale structure [29] we report only the global EDE-  
93 Q scores as indicator of overall eating disorder cognitive symptoms as proposed by others [30, 31].

94

## 95 Statistics

96 Data were analyzed using SPSS 22.0 and SAS 9.3. Demographic and clinical characteristics were presented as means and standard  
97 deviations (SD) or frequencies and percentages. Prevalence rates for objective and subjective bulimic episodes, objective overeating, self-induced  
98 vomiting and excessive exercise are based on those who answered that such behaviors were present. Logistic regression models with random  
99 intercepts were estimated to test whether there were significant differences in occurrences of these behaviors in the two surgery groups

100 throughout the follow-up. Models with fixed effects for time component (up to second-order where significant) and dummy identifying surgery  
101 group were estimated. Interaction term between the time and dummy was included if significant.

102 A linear mixed model (LMM) with random effects for intercepts and time was estimated to assess the BMI development after surgery. To  
103 account for non-linear trend in time, the fixed effects for time components up to third-order were included. Next, a LMM with fixed effects for  
104 Global EDE-Q score and bulimic episodes at baseline was estimated. Interaction terms between the two and the time were considered but  
105 excluded as non-significant. The results were presented graphically as estimated mean BMI with 95% confidence intervals (CI) at each follow-up  
106 point among those with and without objective bulimic episodes preoperatively.

107 Another LMM with random effects for intercepts and time was estimated to assess the development in Global EDE-Q score. The model  
108 contained fixed effects for time components up to second-order, a dummy identifying surgery group and the interaction term between the dummy  
109 and time. The results of this model were presented as estimated mean Global EDE-Q scores with 95% CI at each follow-up point within two  
110 surgical groups.

111

112



113 **Results**

114 Sixty participants, 42 women and 18 men, were included. Patient demographics at baseline is described in Table 1.

115 The prevalence of self-reported objective bulimic episodes dropped from 29% (RYGB) and 32% (DS) preoperatively to 3% (RYGB) and  
116 0% (DS) after 12 months, then increased to 17% in both groups after 2 years, and at 5-years follow-up was 22 % in the RYGB group and 7% in  
117 the DS group. The prevalence of subjective bulimic episodes was stable at around 30% throughout the follow-up period in the RYGB group,  
118 while it was 19%, 8%, and 25% after 12 months, 2 years and 5 years respectively, in the DS group. The prevalence of objective overeating in the  
119 GBP group was 42%, 24%, 7%, 17% and 18% at baseline, 6 months, 12 months, 2 and 5 years. Corresponding numbers in the DS group were  
120 48%, 14%, 4%, 17% and 18%. Self-induced vomiting was reported by one participant in each surgery group at baseline, one participant (RYGB  
121 group) at 6 months, and no participants at later time-points. Finally, the prevalence of intense exercise in the RYGB group was 10%, 19%, 3%,  
122 10% and 26% at baseline, 6 months, 12 months, 2 and 5 years. Corresponding numbers in the DS group were 14%, 32%, 23%, 10% and 14%  
123 respectively. None of the eating disorder behavior symptoms showed significant differences between surgical groups throughout the follow-up.

124 Mean global EDE-q score in the RYGB group was 2.6 (SD 0.9) at baseline, 1.5 (SD 0.9) at 12 months and 2.3 (SD 1.5) after 5 years,  
125 while corresponding numbers in the DS group were 2.8 (SD 0.9), 1.4 (SD 1.1) and 1.5 (SD 1.0) respectively. Overall the score increased  
126 throughout follow-up in the RYGB group, and remained stable in the DS group (Table 2).

127 According to the LMM, there was a significant non-linear (third-order) trend in time for BMI for all participants ( $p < 0.001$ ). Baseline  
128 Global EDE-Q score was not a significant predictor for change in BMI after surgery ( $p = 0.599$ ). BMI was not significantly different between

129 those with and without preoperative objective bulimic episodes the first year after surgery. However the estimated mean BMI was significantly  
130 lower in the group with preoperative objective bulimic episodes after 2 ( $p=0.042$ ) and 5 years ( $p=0.013$ ) (Figure 1).

131         There was a significant second-order time trend in Global EDE-Q score as estimated by the linear mixed model. The DS group had a  
132 significantly lower estimated Global EDE-Q score than the RYGB group after 5 years ( $p=0.009$ ) (Figure 2).

133

134 **Discussion**

135 **Our observations** suggest that eating disorder cognitions as measured by Global EDE-Q score is not a significant predictor for weight  
136 loss after RYGB and DS, whereas patients with objective bulimic episodes before surgery had significantly greater weight loss after 2 and 5  
137 years independently of type of surgery. We also found that patients in the DS group had a significantly lower global EDE-Q score than the  
138 RYGB group at 5-years follow-up.

139 The prevalence of self-reported objective bulimic episodes before surgery in our sample was 30%, while studies in the review article by  
140 Meany et al. reported a binge eating prevalence before bariatric surgery ranging from 14 to 55.9% [8]. In our study objective bulimic episodes  
141 pre-surgery predicted a greater weight loss after 2- and 5-years follow-up. Recent studies have found unclear or no associations between  
142 preoperative eating behaviors such as sweet eating, emotional eating, hyperfagia or gorging and postoperative weight loss [9, 14], while  
143 Kontinen et al. [13] showed that neither preoperative Restraint, Disinhibition or Hunger measured with TFEQ predicted weight loss postsurgery.  
144 Others have found that preoperative Restraint [32, 33] and Disinhibition [32, 34] was negatively associated with post-operative weight loss.  
145 Meanwhile, studies evaluating the specific effect of binge eating on weight loss after bariatric surgery report mixed results. Some studies have  
146 demonstrated that preoperative binge eating positively influence weight loss after bariatric surgery [35, 36]. In contrast, others have found a  
147 negative effect [17, 37, 38] or no association between postoperative weight loss and binge eating [39-41]. The discrepancy in results may be due  
148 to different methods in assessing and defining binge eating and different surgical procedures used.

149            Subjective bulimic episodes (sense of losing control but normal amount of food) were more prevalent than objective bulimic episodes in  
150 the RYGB group at all times of follow-up and at all times except at 2-years follow-up for the DS group. In a recent cross-sectional study by  
151 Conceição et al., looking at loss of control (LOC) eating before bariatric surgery, then at short- and long-term follow-up, objective bulimic  
152 episodes were present in only 0.9%, while subjective bulimic episodes were present in 10.9% of participants at short-term (< 2 years) follow-up  
153 [7]. In light of this, researchers have questioned whether Binge eating criteria should be re-evaluated when applied to patients after bariatric  
154 surgery since many report a lack of control even when the amount of food consumed is small [5], and many patients who reported Binge eating  
155 before surgery resort to grazing [6]. Another study by Conceição et al. showed that “picking and nibbling” was the most commonly reported  
156 maladaptive eating behavior after RYGB and Gastric band surgery and was significantly associated with weight regain [42].

157            To our knowledge, few previous studies have investigated the relationship between Global EDE-Q score and weight development after  
158 bariatric surgery. Our data showed that both eating disorder behavior- and cognitive symptoms seemed to increase from the same turning point as  
159 weight [20, 21]. Factors explaining this co-variation in weight development and eating disorder symptoms may well be psychological. Colles et  
160 al. showed that recurrence of distorted eating behavior after surgery was associated with elevated psychological distress and a poorer excess  
161 weight loss (EWL) [6], while in a study by Thonney et al., EWL and a lower BMI also improved psychological outcomes such as depression,  
162 anxiety and eating disorders 2 years after surgery [43]. A study by Castellini et al. investigated the relationship between bariatric surgery, weight  
163 loss and psychological outcomes before and 12 months after surgery in 83 subjects categorized according to type of surgery (laparoscopic  
164 adjustable gastric band, RYGB and biliopancreatic diversion). They found that while all three groups experienced significant improvements in

165 anxiety, depression, general psychopathology and binge eating symptomatology, the patients operated with biliopancreatic diversion had the  
166 greatest reduction in weight and in binge eating psychopathology, similar to our findings. At 1-year follow-up the total EDE-Q score was 1.2  
167 after biliopancreatic diversion and 2.2 after GBS [44], a larger difference than was found in our study. The co-variation in global EDE-Q score  
168 and weight corresponds to studies showing that increased BMI in normal populations is positively correlated to Global EDE-Q [26].

169         The reappearance of binge eating after surgery and a subsequent negative influence on weight loss have been demonstrated previously. In  
170 an 8-year follow-up study by Kalarchian et al., almost half the patients reported recurrent objective or subjective bulimic episodes, which was  
171 associated with a less favorable outcome and greater weight regain [17]. While some claim that extremely obese patients with binge eating  
172 should be referred to preoperative behavioral counseling [45, 46], preoperative binge eating disorder is not, according to expert guidelines,  
173 considered an absolute contradiction to bariatric surgery [47]. Practitioners are, however, advised to assess the severity and consequences of the  
174 condition both pre- and post-surgery [47, 48].

175

176         The strengths of this study include a relatively high participation rate, a randomized design and the longitudinal perspective. The main  
177 limitation was a small number of participants in each surgery group. The sample was also predominantly female, which is common in studies  
178 reporting on bariatric surgery outcome measures [49]. Another limitation was that the Norwegian and Swedish translated version of the EDE-Q  
179 form has not been validated. However, the subsequent version (6.0) which has been validated [25, 26] was almost identical to the one used here.  
180 Further, the EDE-Q may not adequately address the difficulties for post-bariatric patients in identifying “objectively large” amounts of food [50].

181 Neither does it identify new maladaptive eating behaviors after surgery, such as grazing. There is thus a need for validated questionnaires in this  
182 patient population. Finally, the occurrence of eating disordered behavior are based on self-reported data. Binge eating episodes are complex  
183 behavior including an evaluation of amount of food and sense of loss of control and the validity of the results of such behavior in self-reporting  
184 in overweight persons is uncertain [51].

185

186 **Conclusion**

187           Objective bulimic episodes but not global EDE-Q score before surgery predicted increased weight loss after RYGB and DS. The DS  
188 group had a significantly lower global EDE-Q score than the RYGB group 5 years after surgery.

189

190 **Conflict of interest:**

191 Authors Hanvold and Mala report grants from the South-Eastern Norway Regional Health Authority. Authors Morseth, Hanvold, Rø,  
192 Risstad, Šaltytė Benth, Engström, Olbers and Henjum declare that they have no conflict of interest

193

194 **Informed consent:**

195 Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

196

197 **Ethical approval:**

198 All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or  
199 national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.