

1 **Plasma fatty acid levels and gene expression related to lipid metabolism in**  
2 **peripheral blood mononuclear cells: a cross-sectional study in healthy subjects**

3

4 Sunniva V. Larsen, Kirsten B. Holven, Inger Ottestad, Kine N. Dagsland, Mari C.W.  
5 Myhrstad and Stine M. Ulven\*

6

7 Sunniva V. Larsen: Department of Nutrition, Institute for Basic Medical Sciences, University  
8 of Oslo, P.O. Box 1046 Blindern, 0317 Oslo, Norway. [s.v.larsen@medisin.uio.no](mailto:s.v.larsen@medisin.uio.no)

9

10 Kirsten B. Holven: Department of Nutrition, Institute for Basic Medical Sciences, University  
11 of Oslo, P.O. Box 1046 Blindern, 0317 Oslo, Norway. Norwegian National Advisory Unit on  
12 Familial Hypercholesterolemia, Department of Endocrinology, Morbid Obesity and  
13 Preventive Medicine, Oslo University Hospital, P.O. Box 4950 Nydalen, 0424 Oslo, Norway.  
14 [kirsten.holven@medisin.uio.no](mailto:kirsten.holven@medisin.uio.no)

15

16 Inger Ottestad: Department of Nutrition, Institute for Basic Medical Sciences, University of  
17 Oslo, P.O. Box 1046 Blindern, 0317 Oslo, Norway. [inger.ottestad@medisin.uio.no](mailto:inger.ottestad@medisin.uio.no)

18

19 Kine N. Dagsland: Department of Health, Nutrition and Management, Faculty of Health  
20 Sciences, Oslo and Akershus University College of Applied Sciences, P.O. Box 4 St. Olavs  
21 plass, 0130 Oslo, Norway. [kinendagsland@hotmail.com](mailto:kinendagsland@hotmail.com)

22

23 Mari C.W. Myhrstad: Department of Health, Nutrition and Management, Faculty of Health  
24 Sciences, Oslo and Akershus University College of Applied Sciences, P.O. Box 4 St. Olavs  
25 plass, 0130 Oslo, Norway. [mari.myhrstad@hioa.no](mailto:mari.myhrstad@hioa.no)

26

27 Stine M. Ulven: \*Correspondence. Department of Nutrition, Institute of Basic Medical  
28 Sciences, University of Oslo, P.O. Box 1046 Blindern, 0317 Oslo, Norway.  
29 [smulven@medisin.uio.no](mailto:smulven@medisin.uio.no)

30

31 Key words: Cardiovascular risk factors, Nutrition, Fat quality, Plasma fatty acids, Lipid  
32 metabolism, Gene expression, Peripheral blood mononuclear cells

**33 Abstract**

34 Background: Solid evidence indicates that intake of marine n-3 fatty acids lower serum  
35 triglycerides, and that replacing saturated fatty acids (SFA) with polyunsaturated fatty acids  
36 (PUFA) reduces plasma total cholesterol and LDL-cholesterol. The molecular mechanisms  
37 underlying these health beneficial effects are however not completely elucidated. The aim of  
38 this study was therefore to investigate the expression of genes related to lipid metabolism in  
39 peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) depending on the plasma levels of n-6 and n-3  
40 fatty acids and the SFA to PUFA ratio.

41  
42 Methods: Fifty-four healthy subjects were grouped into tertiles (n=18) based on plasma levels  
43 of n-6 and n-3 fatty acids and the SFA to PUFA ratio. The PBMC gene expression levels  
44 among subjects in the highest versus the lowest tertiles were compared. In total, 285 genes  
45 related to cholesterol and triglyceride metabolism were selected for this explorative study.

46  
47 Results: Among the 285 selected genes, 161 were defined as expressed in the PBMCs. The  
48 plasma SFA to PUFA ratio was associated with the highest number of significantly different  
49 expressed genes (25 gene transcripts), followed by plasma n-6 fatty acid level (15 gene  
50 transcripts) and plasma n-3 fatty acid level (8 gene transcripts). In particular, genes involved  
51 in cholesterol homeostasis were significantly different expressed among subjects with high  
52 compared to low plasma SFA to PUFA ratio.

53  
54 Conclusion: Genes involved in lipid metabolism were differentially expressed in PBMCs  
55 depending on the plasma fatty acid levels. This finding may increase our understanding of  
56 how fatty acids influence lipid metabolism at a molecular level in humans.

## 57 **Introduction**

58 Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide [1].  
59 Dyslipidemia, including elevated levels of plasma total cholesterol (total-C), low density  
60 lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) and triglycerides (TG), is a major risk factor for CVD.  
61 Dietary fatty acids play a significant role in modulating plasma lipids, thereby influencing the  
62 CVD risk [2]. Solid evidence indicates that intake of marine n-3 fatty acids, and replacing  
63 saturated fatty acids (SFA) with polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), prevents CVD and CVD  
64 mortality [3-7]. One of the CVD reducing effects of marine n-3 fatty acids is the TG lowering  
65 effect, while replacing SFAs with PUFAs has been shown in several randomised controlled  
66 trials to reduce plasma total- and LDL-C [8-10]. Animal studies and *in vitro* experiments have  
67 demonstrated different molecular mechanisms of how marine n-3 fatty acids reduce hepatic  
68 very low density lipoprotein (VLDL) production and increase the VLDL clearance [11, 12].  
69 The molecular mechanisms behind the total- and LDL-C lowering effects of replacing SFAs  
70 with PUFAs are however less clear. Therefore, studies investigating the molecular  
71 mechanisms underlying the health effects of SFAs and PUFAs in humans are warranted.

72 In humans, linoleic acid (LA; 18:2n-6) and alpha-linolenic acid (ALA; 18:3n-3) are  
73 not biosynthesized *de novo*. Since the conversion of these fatty acids into long chain PUFAs  
74 is limited, plasma PUFA levels have been shown to be objective biomarkers of dietary intake  
75 [13, 14]. Hence, using plasma fatty acids is an alternative approach to examine the association  
76 between dietary fat quality and CVD risk.

77 The ability of fatty acids to regulate gene transcription may account for their effects on  
78 lipid metabolism. Fatty acids regulate gene transcription directly by binding as ligands to  
79 specific transcription factors, thereby controlling the activity of the transcription factor, or  
80 indirectly by regulating different signalling pathways controlling the nuclear abundance of  
81 transcription factors [15-17]. In particular, there is considerable evidence that PUFAs  
82 modulate the transcription of genes involved in lipid metabolism by regulating the activity of  
83 the nuclear receptors peroxisome proliferator activated receptors (PPAR) and liver x receptors  
84 (LXR), or by suppressing the nuclear abundance of the sterol regulatory binding proteins  
85 (SREBP) [17, 18]. Our understanding of how SFAs modulate the expression of genes  
86 encoding proteins related to lipid metabolism is however more limited [19].

87 In order to get a comprehensive understanding of how dietary fat quality affect lipid  
88 metabolism, to prevent dyslipidemia in humans, we need a suitable model system. Changes in  
89 gene expression occur prior to changes in protein levels, and gene expression analysis is

90 therefore a valuable and sensitive technique measuring early changes related to diet [20, 21].  
91 Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) include lymphocytes and monocytes which  
92 circulate around in the body and are exposed to both environmental factors and metabolic  
93 tissues. Studies have shown that PBMCs may be used as a surrogate model for liver  
94 metabolism since these cells reflect hepatic regulation of cholesterol metabolism as well as  
95 metabolic and immune responses [22-26].

96         Some postprandial studies have examined the effect of fat intake on the mRNA level  
97 of genes involved in lipid metabolism in PBMCs [26, 27]. To our knowledge, no studies have  
98 particularly focused on the impact of plasma fatty acid levels on PBMC gene expression  
99 related to lipid metabolism. The aim of the present study was therefore to investigate the  
100 relation of plasma levels of n-6 and n-3 fatty acids, and SFA to PUFA ratio, to PBMC gene  
101 expression specifically related to lipid metabolism using cross-sectional data from a human  
102 intervention study [28].

## 103 **Materials and methods**

104

### 105 Study design and participants

106

107 Fifty-four healthy, non-smoking men and women aged 18-50 years were included in this  
108 cross-sectional sub-study of a randomised controlled trial designed to investigate the health  
109 effects of fish oil with different quality focusing on lipids, oxidative stress, and inflammation  
110 [28, 29]. In addition, we have analysed the plasma lipidomic profile, the PBMC gene  
111 expression profile, and the effects on lipoprotein subclasses from this dietary intervention  
112 study [30-33]. A detailed description of the protocol, participant recruitment and enrolment,  
113 inclusion and exclusion criteria, and compliance is described elsewhere [28]. In the present  
114 study, data from the end of intervention was utilized.

115 The study population was grouped into tertiles three times according to the plasma  
116 fatty acid levels and the SFA to PUFA ratio by arranging samples from the highest to the  
117 lowest value. First, the subjects were grouped according to the plasma level of total n-3 fatty  
118 acids which included ALA, eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA; 20:5n-3), docosapentaenoic acid  
119 (DPA; 22:5n-3), and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA; 22:6n-3). Second, the subjects were  
120 grouped according to the plasma level of total n-6 fatty acids which included LA and  
121 arachidonic acid (AA; 20:4n-6). Finally the subjects were grouped according to the plasma  
122 SFA to PUFA ratio, which included the SFAs myristic acid (14:0), palmitic acid (16:0) and  
123 stearic acid (18:0), and the PUFA included the sum of plasma total levels of n-3 and n-6 fatty  
124 acids. The subjects in the highest (n=18) and the lowest (n=18) tertile were compared.

125 The intervention study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the  
126 Declaration of Helsinki, and all procedures involving human subjects were approved by the  
127 Regional Committee of Medical Ethics (approval no. 6.2008.2215) and the Norwegian Social  
128 Science Data Services (approval no. 21924). Written informed consent was obtained from all  
129 participants. The study was registered at [www.clinicaltrials.gov](http://www.clinicaltrials.gov) (ID no. NCT01034423).

130

### 131 Clinical and biochemical measurements

132

133 Procedures regarding clinical and biochemical measurements have been described earlier [28].  
134 In brief, fasting venous blood samples were collected after an overnight fast ( $\geq 12$  h). Serum  
135 was obtained from silica gel tubes (Becton Dickinson Vacutainer Systems, UK) and kept at  
136 room temperature for 30 min before centrifugation (1500 g, 12 min). Plasma was obtained  
137 from EDTA tubes (Becton Dickinson Vacutainer Systems, UK), immediately placed on ice

138 and centrifuged within 10 min (1500 g, 4°C, 10 min). EDTA tubes with whole blood were  
139 kept at room temperature for a maximum of 48 h before counting the total number of  
140 lymphocytes and monocytes. Fasting serum concentrations of total-C, LDL-C, HDL-C, and  
141 TGs, as well as lymphocyte and monocyte counts, were measured by standard methods in a  
142 routine clinical laboratory (Først Medical Laboratory, Oslo, Norway).

143  
144 Fatty acids analysis

145  
146 Plasma lipids were extracted by use of the Bligh and Dyer method [34], as described by  
147 Ottestad et al [28]. Fatty acids in Bligh and Dyer extract were derivatised and analysed as  
148 methyl esters on a GC (HP 6890) equipped with a BPX-70 column (SGE Analytical Science  
149 Private Limited, Melbourne, Australia). The plasma level of the individual fatty acids is  
150 expressed as mass percentage (% wt) of total fatty acids in plasma.

151  
152  
153 PBMC and RNA isolation

154  
155 PBMCs were isolated by using the BD Vacutainer Cell Preparation tubes according to the  
156 manufacturer`s instructions (Becton, Dickinson San Jose, CA, USA), as described previously  
157 [31]. This is a well-documented and standardised method to collect mononuclear cells with  
158 high purity (above 90 %), and according to the manufacturer, approximately 80 % of the cells  
159 are lymphocytes and 12 % are monocytes. Pellets were stored at -80 °C for further RNA  
160 analysis. Total RNA was isolated from the PBMC samples using RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen).  
161 RNA quantity and quality were measured using the ND 1000 Spectrophotometer (Seven  
162 Werner AB) and Agilent bioanalyser (Agilent Technologies Inc.), respectively.

163  
164  
165 Microarray analysis and selection of genes

166  
167 Gene expression was analysed by hybridization to an Illumina HumanHT-12 v4  
168 Expression BeadChip and scanned on an Illumina HiScan microarray scanner (Illumina Inc.,  
169 CA 92122). Illumina GenomeStudio was used to transform bead-level data to probe-level  
170 intensities and statistics, which were exported raw for bioinformatics analysis. Quantile  
171 normalization of the Illumina intensities was performed, and probes without a detectable  
172 expression (detection  $P > 0.01$ ) in at least 10% of the samples were excluded from further  
173 analyses. From the 48 000 probes presented on the Illumina array, 21 236 probes were defined

174 as expressed in the current study. A more detailed description of the protocol is given  
175 elsewhere [31]. The raw data are available from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO)  
176 (accession number GSE111567).

177 A total of 285 genes encoding proteins related to cholesterol- and TG metabolism  
178 were selected for this explorative study. The genes were selected based on relevant gene sets  
179 related to cholesterol- and TG metabolism (26 gene sets) in the Molecular Signature Database  
180 v6.0 [35] limited to collection C5 (Gene ontology, GO), as well as literature summarising loci  
181 associated with different lipid traits [36]. Out of the 285 genes, 161 genes were defined as  
182 expressed on the HumanHT-12 v4 microarray and included in the statistical analyses  
183 (Additional file 1). The list of the differentially expressed genes was based on the lowest P-  
184 values for genes containing multiple probe set. The expression levels of the differential  
185 expressed genes which were expressed by more than one probe is shown in Additional files 2-  
186 4.

187

188

189 Statistical analysis

190

191 Differences in Log<sub>2</sub> gene expression between subjects in the highest and lowest tertiles were  
192 compared by Independent Samples t-test. No adjustment for multiple testing was performed  
193 because of the explorative design of the study. Significantly different expressed genes were  
194 further correlated with clinical and biochemical parameters by Pearson's correlation.  
195 Differences in subject characteristics and plasma fatty acid levels between subjects in the  
196 highest and lowest tertiles were compared by Independent Samples t-test or Mann-Whitney U  
197 test when normally and not normally distributed, respectively. All statistical analyses were  
198 performed using R open source software version 3.4.1 [37]. P-values <0.05 were considered  
199 significant.

## 200 **Results**

201

202 Subject characteristics and plasma fatty acid levels

203

204 The subjects included in the study were young and middle-aged adults ( $27 \pm 7.2$  years) with  
205 BMI ( $22.6 \pm 2.6$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and serum lipids within the normal range as shown in table 1. There  
206 was a skewed distribution of men and women (fifteen men and thirty-nine women) among the  
207 subjects. The plasma levels of fatty acids in the study population are shown in table 1.

208

209 *Insert table 1*

210

211 The characteristics and plasma fatty acid levels of subjects in the highest (n=18) and  
212 lowest (n=18) tertiles based on plasma levels of n-6 and n-3 fatty acids, and SFA to PUFA  
213 ratio, are presented in table 2. The serum level of TG was significantly lower among subjects  
214 in the highest compared to subjects in the lowest plasma n-6 fatty acid tertile ( $P < 0.01$ ). In  
215 contrast, the serum level of TG was significantly higher among subjects in the highest  
216 compared to subjects in the lowest plasma SFA to PUFA ratio tertile ( $P < 0.01$ ). There were  
217 no significant differences in any markers between subjects in the highest and the lowest  
218 plasma n-3 fatty acid tertile.

219 As expected, the plasma levels of EPA, DPA, and DHA were significantly higher  
220 among subjects in the highest compared to subjects in the lowest plasma n-3 fatty acid tertile  
221 ( $P < 0.01$ ,  $P < 0.01$  and  $P < 0.01$ , respectively) (Table 2). The plasma levels of myristic- and  
222 palmitic acid were significantly higher among subjects in the highest compared to subjects in  
223 the lowest plasma SFA to PUFA ratio tertile ( $P < 0.01$  and  $P < 0.01$ , respectively), and  
224 significantly lower among subjects in the highest compared to subjects in the lowest plasma  
225 n-6 fatty acid tertile ( $P < 0.01$  and  $P < 0.01$ , respectively). In addition, the plasma levels of LA  
226 and AA were significantly higher among subjects in the highest compared to subjects in the  
227 lowest plasma n-6 fatty acid tertile ( $P < 0.01$  and  $P = 0.02$ , respectively). The percentage of  
228 monocytes and lymphocytes did not significantly differ among subjects in the highest  
229 compared to the lowest plasma n-3 fatty acid tertile, n-6 fatty acid tertile, and the SFA to  
230 PUFA ratio tertile, respectively (Table 2).

231

232 *Insert table 2*

233



234 PBMC gene expression  
235

236 Out of the 161 mRNA transcripts included in the study, 41 were significantly different  
237 expressed depending on plasma fatty acid levels and the SFA to PUFA ratio (Figure 1). The  
238 plasma SFA to PUFA ratio was associated with the highest number of significantly different  
239 expressed genes (25 gene transcripts,  $P < 0.05$ ), followed by plasma n-6 fatty acid level (15  
240 gene transcripts,  $P < 0.05$ ), and n-3 fatty acid level (8 gene transcripts,  $P < 0.05$ ), as shown in  
241 figure 1. Seven gene transcripts were associated with both plasma n-6 fatty acid level and  
242 plasma SFA to PUFA ratio, and one gene transcript was associated with both plasma n-3 fatty  
243 acid level and plasma SFA to PUFA ratio (Figure 2). No gene transcripts were shared across  
244 plasma n-6 and n-3 fatty acid levels and plasma SFA to PUFA ratio. Differentially expressed  
245 genes associated with the plasma levels of n-6 and n-3 fatty acids and the SFA to PUFA ratio  
246 are presented in table 3-5, respectively.

247

248

249 *Insert tables 3-5*

250

251 Differentially expressed genes between subjects in the highest and lowest plasma SFA  
252 to PUFA ratio tertile included genes encoding proteins involved in cholesterol homeostasis  
253 (table 5). The mRNA levels of *insulin induced gene 2* (INSIG2), *ER lipid raft associated 2*  
254 (ERLIN2), *Caveolin 1* (CAV1) and *COPII subunit SEC24* (SEC24) were significantly higher  
255 expressed among subjects in the highest compared to subjects in the lowest plasma SFA to  
256 PUFA ratio tertile. The mRNA levels of *scavenger receptor class B member 1* (SCARB1),  
257 *ATP binding cassette subfamily A member 2* (ABCA2) and *3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA*  
258 *reductase* (HMGCR) were significantly lower expressed among subjects in the highest  
259 compared to subjects in the lowest plasma SFA to PUFA ratio tertile. Interestingly, several of  
260 the differentially expressed genes associated with plasma SFA to PUFA ratio, including  
261 CAV1 and HMGCR, were opposite differentially expressed when comparing subjects in the  
262 highest versus the lowest plasma n-6 fatty acid tertile.

263 In order to examine whether the differentially expressed genes were associated with  
264 BMI or serum lipids, correlation analyses were performed (table 6). BMI was significantly  
265 positively correlated to the expression levels of two genes (LACTB and SNX13), and  
266 significantly negatively correlated to the expression levels of five genes (ERLIN2, GSK3B,  
267 KLHL8, SCARB1 and SELS). The serum level of TG was significantly negatively correlated

268 to the expression levels of five genes (DAGLB, GSK3B, KAT5, KLHL8 and SCARB1). The  
269 serum levels of total-C and LDL-C were significantly negatively correlated to the expression  
270 levels of two genes (FAM117B and KAT5) and one gene (LRP5), respectively.

271

272 *Insert tables 6*

273 Even if the percentage number of lymphocytes and monocytes was constant in the  
274 three comparisons, we also checked if cell subset specific genes were differently expressed  
275 between the groups. We did not observe any significant alterations in the gene expression  
276 levels of the B-cell-specific gene *CD20*, the T helper cell specific gene *CD4* and the  
277 monocyte specific gene *CD14* between the subjects in any of the groups. These findings  
278 suggest that there is no indication that there is a change in B lymphocyte/monocyte ratio in  
279 the comparisons. However, we observed a significant lower mRNA level of the cytotoxic T  
280 lymphocyte specific gene *CD8A* in the high versus low plasma n-6 level group, and  
281 significant higher mRNA levels of *CD8A* and *CD8B* in the high versus low plasma SFA to  
282 PUFA group. This may indicate that plasma fatty acids may influence the T  
283 lymphocyte/monocyte ratio.

284

## 285 **Discussion**

286

287 In this explorative study, we investigated the potential relation of plasma n-6 and n-3 fatty  
288 acid levels, and plasma SFA to PUFA ratio, to PBMC gene expression related to lipid  
289 metabolism in healthy subjects. The plasma SFA to PUFA ratio was associated with the  
290 highest number of differentially expressed genes, followed by plasma n-6 and n-3 fatty acid  
291 level. In particular genes involved in cholesterol metabolism were differentially expressed.

292 PBMCs have previously been shown to reflect hepatic lipid metabolism during fasting  
293 [23]. In addition, genes related to lipid metabolism have been shown to be differentially  
294 expressed in PBMCs after acute meal studies with different fat qualities [26, 27]. In the  
295 present study we used a targeted approach to investigate whether 285 genes encoding proteins  
296 related to cholesterol and TG metabolism were differentially expressed depending on plasma  
297 fatty acid levels. Among the 285 genes, a total of 161 genes were expressed in the PBMCs.  
298 Interestingly, it seems like the plasma SFA to PUFA ratio is a stronger determinant than the  
299 plasma levels of n-6 and n-3 fatty acids alone regarding the potential of influencing gene  
300 expression levels in PBMCs. PBMCs may therefore function as a good model system to get a

301 better understanding of how genes involved in lipid metabolism are regulated by the plasma  
302 fatty acid levels and in particular the SFA to PUFA ratio.

303 Genes involved in the regulation of cholesterol homeostasis were differentially  
304 expressed among subjects with high compared to low plasma SFA to PUFA ratio. ABCA2  
305 encodes a member of the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters, a subfamily of  
306 transporters that have been functionally linked to intracellular lipid transport [38]. The mRNA  
307 level of ABCA2 was significantly lower among subjects with high compared to low plasma  
308 SFA to PUFA ratio. It has been demonstrated that ABCA2 positively regulates low-density  
309 lipoprotein receptor (LDLR) mRNA expression and negatively regulates cholesterol  
310 esterification in hamster ovary cells [39]. In addition, it has been shown that overexpression  
311 of ABCA2 in neuroblastoma cells results in decreased cellular cholesterol levels [40]. Thus  
312 our findings suggest that a lower ABCA2 expression level may lead to lower uptake and  
313 lower synthesis of cholesterol.

314 Because most cells in the periphery of the body do not express pathways for  
315 catabolizing cholesterol, efflux of cholesterol is critical for maintaining cholesterol  
316 homeostasis. SCARB1 and CAV1 are genes encoding proteins involved in cholesterol efflux.  
317 The mRNA level of CAV1 was significantly higher among subjects with high compared to  
318 low plasma SFA to PUFA ratio. It has been shown that CAV1 regulates the ATP binding  
319 cassette subfamily G member 1 (ABCG1) mediated efflux of cholesterol, probably by  
320 regulating ABCG1 trafficking to the cell surface [41], and a potential increase in CAV1 will  
321 subsequently lead to increased cholesterol efflux through ABCG1. Interestingly, there was  
322 also a significantly lower mRNA level of CAV1 among subjects with high compared to low  
323 plasma n-6 fatty acid level. As n-6 fatty acids are the majority of total plasma PUFAs, this  
324 finding may suggest that SFAs and PUFAs may exert different effects on cholesterol efflux  
325 pathways. We did not observe a significant difference in the mRNA level of ABCG1 among  
326 subjects with high compared to low plasma SFA to PUFA ratio. However, there was a  
327 significantly lower mRNA level of SCARB1, which encodes another plasma membrane  
328 receptor mediating cholesterol transfer to and from HDL. In contrast to ABCG1, which  
329 mediate cholesterol efflux via active transport, SCARB1 mediates cholesterol transport to and  
330 from HDL via passive facilitated diffusion [42]. In cholesterol loaded mouse macrophages  
331 incubated with diluted human serum it has been shown that cholesterol efflux is mainly  
332 mediated by active transport [43]. We therefore speculate that there may be a higher efflux of  
333 cholesterol via active transport than by passive transport in response to a high intracellular

334 cholesterol load, which may explain the lower expression level of SCARB1 in subjects with  
335 high compared to low plasma SFA to PUFA ratio.

336 In addition, there was a significantly lower mRNA level of HMGCR among subjects  
337 with high compared to low SFA to PUFA ratio, and the mRNA level of HMGCR was higher  
338 among subjects with high compared to low plasma n-6 PUFA level. HMGCR encodes the rate  
339 limiting step in the cholesterol biosynthesis pathway, and a lower expression of this gene will  
340 subsequently lead to reduced synthesis of cholesterol. The finding in the present study is in  
341 line with a recent postprandial study investing the effects of SFA in lean and obese subjects  
342 [27]. It is interesting that although the above mentioned study investigated the acute effects of  
343 a high fat meal, we were able to observe a similar change in the mRNA level of HMGCR  
344 among subjects with higher plasma SFA to PUFA ratio compared to subjects with lower  
345 plasma SFA to PUFA ratio. The transcription of HMGCR is regulated by sterol regulatory  
346 element-binding proteins (SREBPs) [44]. SREBP2 stimulates the transcription of genes  
347 involved in cholesterol biosynthesis- and uptake [45]. We did not observe a significant  
348 difference in the mRNA level of SREBP2 among subjects with high compared to low SFA to  
349 PUFA ratio, nor did we observe a significant difference in the expression level of the *LDL*  
350 *receptor* (LDLR) which is also regulated by SREBP2. However, several genes involved in the  
351 proteolytic regulation of SREBP2, including INSIG2 and ERLIN2, were significantly higher  
352 expressed among subjects with high compared to low SFA to PUFA ratio. INSIG2 binds to  
353 cholesterol loaded sterol binding proteins (SCAPs) in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and  
354 prevents the movement of the SCAP-SREBP complex to the Golgi apparatus for further  
355 processing and eventually transcription of HMGCR and other SREBP target genes. In  
356 addition, INSIG proteins play an important role in oxysterol regulated cleavage of SREBPs  
357 [46]. ERLIN2 encodes a cholesterol sensing protein which has been suggested to stabilize the  
358 SREBP-SCAP-INSIG complex in the ER [47]. This gene was also significantly negatively  
359 correlated with BMI, thereby implicating that BMI impact on intracellular cholesterol levels.  
360 Thus, a higher mRNA level of INSIG2 and ERLIN2 is in line with the lower mRNA level of  
361 HMGCR observed in the present study. Additionally, we observed a higher mRNA level of  
362 SEC24 among subjects with high compared to low plasma SFA to PUFA ratio. SEC24 is a  
363 component of the COP11-coated vesicles, which transport the SREBP-SCAP complex to the  
364 Golgi. When ER cholesterol rises above a threshold of total lipids, the cholesterol binds to  
365 SCAP, which triggers a conformational change in the protein that occludes the binding of the  
366 COPII proteins [48]. We speculate that a higher expression level of SEC24 may be a response

367 to a higher intracellular cholesterol level among subjects with high compared to low plasma  
368 SFA to PUFA ratio.

369         Although we did not observe differences in serum cholesterol levels among subjects  
370 with high compared to low plasma SFA to PUFA ratio, the differentially expressed genes  
371 observed in the present study may reflect an intracellular status of excess cholesterol. Changes  
372 in the expression of genes occur prior to changes at protein level, and these findings may  
373 therefore reflect early changes related to diet. The question remains how the above mentioned  
374 genes are potentially regulated by changes in the plasma SFA to PUFA ratio. It is well known  
375 that dietary intake PUFA, in particular of LA, AA, EPA and DHA correlate with their  
376 respective percentages in plasma total fatty acids [14]. However, the total plasma fatty acid  
377 profile may not reflect the total dietary intake of fat as the fatty acid composition in different  
378 lipid fractions differs depending on the fat intake [49]. However, we have shown recently in a  
379 dietary intervention study where SFA were replaced with PUFA that the total plasma fatty  
380 acid profile reflected dietary fat intake changes [8]. It is clear that fatty acids have the ability  
381 to regulate the expression of genes involved in lipid metabolism. PUFAs have been shown to  
382 decrease nuclear SREBP-1 protein levels in part by inhibition of the interaction of oxysterols  
383 with LXR, however this mechanism does not seem to affect SREBP2 [50]. The findings in the  
384 present study may therefore be explained by the plasma levels of SFAs. Recent findings  
385 suggest that SFAs may decrease SREBP activity directly, but the exact mechanisms whereby  
386 SFAs may exert their effects on SREBP and its downstream targets remain to be established  
387 [19].

388         The present study has several strengths. Gene expression profiling in PBMCs has been  
389 shown to be more sensitive to dietary changes than the traditional biochemical parameters in  
390 the circulation, and we specifically choose genes involved in biological processes related to  
391 TG and cholesterol metabolism. In the present study we have compared the mRNA levels  
392 with plasma levels of n-3, n-6 and the SFA to PUFA ratio. Since intake of the n-3 and the n-6  
393 fatty acids are reflected in the plasma total fatty acid composition, our data indicate that intake  
394 of these fatty acids may cause differences in gene expression. The major limitation of the  
395 present study is the limited number of subjects. Due to the small number of subjects, the  
396 subjects were separated into groups based on tertiles, which may have had an impact on the  
397 number of significantly differentially expressed genes observed between the highest and  
398 lowest tertiles. However, since we used end of study samples from a fish oil intervention, we  
399 could at least expect a larger variation in plasma n-3 fatty acid level among the subjects.  
400 Another limitation is that there may be a different T lymphocyte/monocyte ratio in the n-

401 6 tertile groups and the SFA to PUFA tertile groups. Whether the mRNA expression levels of  
402 CD8A and CD8B are linked to the plasma level of the fatty acids, or by the change in the  
403 number of subset cells cannot be determined by this cross-sectional study. Another limitation is  
404 the lack of PBMC material to perform protein measurements to validate our mRNA results. Since this  
405 was an explorative study we did not adjust for multiple testing. Although no causal  
406 relationship can be made due to the cross-sectional design of the study, the current study  
407 shows that the plasma fatty acid levels can influence the PBMC expression of genes involved  
408 in lipid metabolism.

409

#### 410 **Conclusion**

411

412 In conclusion, the main findings in the present study were that PBMCs express genes  
413 involved in hepatic lipid metabolism, and that the expression of several of the genes was  
414 influenced by plasma fatty acid levels. This finding supports the use of PBMCs as a model  
415 system for investigating the role dietary n-3 and n-6 fatty acids on gene expression related to  
416 lipid metabolism. The plasma SFA to PUFA ratio seems to be more important than the plasma  
417 n-6 and n-3 fatty acid level alone with regards to influencing mRNA levels. In particular,  
418 genes involved in cholesterol homeostasis were significantly different expressed among  
419 subjects with high compared to low plasma SFA to PUFA ratio. This may reflect an  
420 intracellular status of excess cholesterol among subjects with high plasma SFA to PUFA ratio.  
421 The current findings should be further studied in experimental studies and tested in well  
422 controlled human dietary intervention studies.

423 **Additional files**

424 Additional file 1: Selection of genes related to triglyceride- and cholesterol metabolism (285  
425 genes). Genes expressed in peripheral blood mononuclear cells are in bold (161 genes) (docx).

426

427 Additional file 2: Differentially expressed genes associated with plasma n-6 level and  
428 expressed by more than one probe (docx).

429

430 Additional file 3: Differentially expressed genes associated with plasma n-3 level and  
431 expressed by more than one probe (docx).

432

433 Additional file 4: Differentially expressed genes associated with plasma SFA to PUFA ratio  
434 and expressed by more than one probe (docx).

435

436

437 **Declaration**

438

439 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

440

441 The intervention study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the  
442 Declaration of Helsinki, and all procedures involving human subjects were approved by the  
443 Regional Committee of Medical Ethics (approval no. 6.2008.2215) and the Norwegian Social  
444 Science Data Services (approval no. 21924). Written informed consent was obtained from all  
445 participants. The study was registered at [www.clinicaltrials.gov](http://www.clinicaltrials.gov) (ID no. NCT01034423).

446

447 **Acknowledgements**

448 We thank the participants of the study, and the technical help from Einar Ryeng at NTNU for  
449 the microarray analysis.

450

451 **Competing interests**

452 Dr. Holven has received research grants and/or personal fees from Tine SA, Mills SA,  
453 Olympic Seafood, Kaneka, Amgen, Sanofi, and Pronova, none of which are related to the  
454 content of this manuscript. Dr. Ulven has received research grants from Tine DA, Mills DA,  
455 and Olympic Seafood, none of which are related to the content of this manuscript. The other  
456 authors have no financial relationships relevant to disclose.

457

**458 Funding**

459 This study was funded by the University of Oslo, Oslo and Akershus University College of  
460 Applied Science, The research council of Norway and the Throne-Holst Foundation for  
461 Nutrition Research, Oslo, Norway.

462

**463 Authors' contributions**

464 SVL designed research, selected the genes, performed statistical analyses and analysed data,  
465 and drafted the manuscript. KBH designed research, analysed data, and drafted the manuscript.  
466 IO designed research, coordinated the intervention study, and drafted the manuscript. KND  
467 designed research and selected the genes. MCWM designed research, performed microarray  
468 analysis, analysed data and drafted the manuscript. SMU designed research, was responsible  
469 for the intervention study, analysed data, and drafted the manuscript. All authors read and  
470 approved the final manuscript.



## References

1. Benjamin EJ, Blaha MJ, Chiuve SE, Cushman M, Das SR, Deo R, et al. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics-2017 Update: A Report From the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2017;135:e146-e603. doi: 10.1161/cir.0000000000000485.
2. Schwab U, Lauritzen L, Tholstrup T, Haldorssoni T, Riserus U, Uusitupa M, et al. Effect of the amount and type of dietary fat on cardiometabolic risk factors and risk of developing type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, and cancer: a systematic review. *Food Nutr Res*. 2014;58. doi: 10.3402/fnr.v58.25145.
3. Hu FB, Bronner L, Willett WC, Stampfer MJ, Rexrode KM, Albert CM, et al. Fish and omega-3 fatty acid intake and risk of coronary heart disease in women. *Jama*. 2002;287:1815-21.
4. He K, Song Y, Daviglius ML, Liu K, Van Horn L, Dyer AR, et al. Accumulated evidence on fish consumption and coronary heart disease mortality a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Circulation*. 2004;109:2705-11.
5. Jakobsen MU, O'Reilly EJ, Heitmann BL, Pereira MA, Bälter K, Fraser GE, et al. Major types of dietary fat and risk of coronary heart disease: a pooled analysis of 11 cohort studies. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*. 2009;89:1425-32.
6. Mozaffarian D, Micha R, Wallace S. Effects on coronary heart disease of increasing polyunsaturated fat in place of saturated fat: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *PLoS Med*. 2010;7:e1000252.
7. Casula M, Soranna D, Catapano AL, Corrao G. Long-term effect of high dose omega-3 fatty acid supplementation for secondary prevention of cardiovascular outcomes: A meta-analysis of randomized, placebo controlled trials [corrected]. *Atherosclerosis Supplements*. 2013;14:243-51. doi: 10.1016/s1567-5688(13)70005-9.
8. Ulven SM, Leder L, Elind E, Ottestad I, Christensen JJ, Telle-Hansen VH, et al. Exchanging a few commercial, regularly consumed food items with improved fat quality reduces total cholesterol and LDL-cholesterol: a double-blind, randomised controlled trial. *The British journal of nutrition*. 2016;116:1383-93. doi: 10.1017/s0007114516003445.
9. Schwab U, Lauritzen L, Tholstrup T, Haldorsson TI, Riserus U, Uusitupa M, et al. Effect of the amount and type of dietary fat on cardiometabolic risk factors and risk of developing type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, and cancer: a systematic review. *Food & Nutrition Research*. 2014;58:10.3402/fnr.v58.25145. doi: 10.3402/fnr.v58.25145.
10. Mensink RP, Zock PL, Kester AD, Katan MB. Effects of dietary fatty acids and carbohydrates on the ratio of serum total to HDL cholesterol and on serum lipids and apolipoproteins: a meta-analysis of 60 controlled trials. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*. 2003;77:1146-55.
11. Shearer GC, Savinova OV, Harris WS. Fish oil -- how does it reduce plasma triglycerides? *Biochimica et biophysica acta*. 2012;1821:843-51. doi: 10.1016/j.bbali.2011.10.011.
12. Harris WS. n-3 fatty acids and serum lipoproteins: human studies. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*. 1997;65:1645S-54S.
13. Arab L. Biomarkers of fat and fatty acid intake. *J Nutr*. 2003;133 Suppl 3:925s-32s.
14. Astorg P, Bertrais S, Laporte F, Arnault N, Estaquio C, Galan P, et al. Plasma n-6 and n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids as biomarkers of their dietary intakes: a cross-sectional

- study within a cohort of middle-aged French men and women. *European journal of clinical nutrition*. 2008;62:1155-61. doi: 10.1038/sj.ejcn.1602836.
15. Jump DB. Fatty acid regulation of gene transcription. *Critical reviews in clinical laboratory sciences*. 2004;41:41-78.
  16. Desvergne B, Michalik L, Wahli W. Transcriptional regulation of metabolism. *Physiological reviews*. 2006;86:465-514. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00025.2005.
  17. Afman LA, Muller M. Human nutrigenomics of gene regulation by dietary fatty acids. *Progress in lipid research*. 2012;51:63-70. doi: 10.1016/j.plipres.2011.11.005.
  18. Georgiadi A, Kersten S. Mechanisms of gene regulation by fatty acids. *Advances in nutrition (Bethesda, Md)*. 2012;3:127-34. doi: 10.3945/an.111.001602.
  19. Vallim T, Salter AM. Regulation of hepatic gene expression by saturated fatty acids. Prostaglandins, leukotrienes, and essential fatty acids. 2010;82:211-8. doi: 10.1016/j.plefa.2010.02.016.
  20. Afman L, Milenkovic D, Roche HM. Nutritional aspects of metabolic inflammation in relation to health--insights from transcriptomic biomarkers in PBMC of fatty acids and polyphenols. *Molecular nutrition & food research*. 2014;58:1708-20. doi: 10.1002/mnfr.201300559.
  21. de Mello VDF, Kolehmanien M, Schwab U, Pulkkinen L, Uusitupa M. Gene expression of peripheral blood mononuclear cells as a tool in dietary intervention studies: What do we know so far? *Molecular nutrition & food research*. 2012;56:1160-72.
  22. Caimari A, Oliver P, Keijer J, Palou A. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells as a model to study the response of energy homeostasis-related genes to acute changes in feeding conditions. *Omics : a journal of integrative biology*. 2010;14:129-41. doi: 10.1089/omi.2009.0092.
  23. Bouwens M, Afman LA, Müller M. Fasting induces changes in peripheral blood mononuclear cell gene expression profiles related to increases in fatty acid  $\beta$ -oxidation: functional role of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\alpha$  in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*. 2007;86:1515-23.
  24. Myhrstad MC, Narverud I, Telle-Hansen VH, Karhu T, Lund DB, Herzig KH, et al. Effect of the fat composition of a single high-fat meal on inflammatory markers in healthy young women. *The British journal of nutrition*. 2011;106:1826-35. doi: 10.1017/s0007114511002510.
  25. Bouwens M, van de Rest O, Dellschaft N, Bromhaar MG, de Groot LC, Geleijnse JM, et al. Fish-oil supplementation induces antiinflammatory gene expression profiles in human blood mononuclear cells. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*. 2009;90:415-24.
  26. Bouwens M, Grootte Bromhaar M, Jansen J, Muller M, Afman LA. Postprandial dietary lipid-specific effects on human peripheral blood mononuclear cell gene expression profiles. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*. 2010;91:208-17. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2009.28586.
  27. Esser D, van Dijk SJ, Oosterink E, Lopez S, Muller M, Afman LA. High fat challenges with different fatty acids affect distinct atherogenic gene expression pathways in immune cells from lean and obese subjects. *Molecular nutrition & food research*. 2015;59:1563-72. doi: 10.1002/mnfr.201400853.
  28. Ottestad I, Vogt G, Retterstøl K, Myhrstad MC, Haugen J-E, Nilsson A, et al. Oxidised fish oil does not influence established markers of oxidative stress in healthy human subjects: a randomised controlled trial. *British Journal of Nutrition*. 2012;108:315-26.

29. Ottestad I, Retterstøl K, Myhrstad M, Andersen L, Vogt G, Nilsson A, et al. Intake of oxidised fish oil does not affect circulating levels of oxidised LDL or inflammatory markers in healthy subjects. *Nutrition, Metabolism and Cardiovascular Diseases*. 2013;23:e3-e4.
30. Ottestad I, Hassani S, Borge GI, Kohler A, Vogt G, Hyotylainen T, et al. Fish oil supplementation alters the plasma lipidomic profile and increases long-chain PUFAs of phospholipids and triglycerides in healthy subjects. *PloS one*. 2012;7:e42550. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0042550.
31. Myhrstad MC, Ulven SM, Gunther CC, Ottestad I, Holden M, Ryeng E, et al. Fish oil supplementation induces expression of genes related to cell cycle, endoplasmic reticulum stress and apoptosis in peripheral blood mononuclear cells: a transcriptomic approach. *J Intern Med*. 2014;276:498-511. doi: 10.1111/joim.12217.
32. Myhrstad MC, Ottestad I, Gunther CC, Ryeng E, Holden M, Nilsson A, et al. The PBMC transcriptome profile after intake of oxidized versus high-quality fish oil: an explorative study in healthy subjects. *Genes & nutrition*. 2016;11:16. doi: 10.1186/s12263-016-0530-6.
33. Rundblad A, Holven KB, Ottestad I, Myhrstad MC, Ulven SM. High-quality fish oil has a more favourable effect than oxidised fish oil on intermediate-density lipoprotein and LDL subclasses: a randomised controlled trial. *The British journal of nutrition*. 2017;117:1291-8. doi: 10.1017/s0007114517001167.
34. Bligh EG, Dyer WJ. A rapid method of total lipid extraction and purification. *Canadian journal of biochemistry and physiology*. 1959;37:911-7.
35. Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: A knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2005;102:15545-50. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0506580102.
36. Lange LA, Willer CJ, Rich SS. Recent developments in genome and exome-wide analyses of plasma lipids. *Current opinion in lipidology*. 2015;26:96-102.
37. Team RC. R: A language and environment for statistical computing [Internet]. Vienna, Austria; 2014. 2017.
38. Aye IL, Singh AT, Keelan JA. Transport of lipids by ABC proteins: interactions and implications for cellular toxicity, viability and function. *Chemico-biological interactions*. 2009;180:327-39. doi: 10.1016/j.cbi.2009.04.012.
39. Davis W, Jr., Boyd JT, Ile KE, Tew KD. Human ATP-binding cassette transporter-2 (ABCA2) positively regulates low-density lipoprotein receptor expression and negatively regulates cholesterol esterification in Chinese hamster ovary cells. *Biochimica et biophysica acta*. 2004;1683:89-100. doi: 10.1016/j.bbaliip.2004.04.009.
40. Davis W, Jr. The ATP-binding cassette transporter-2 (ABCA2) regulates cholesterol homeostasis and low-density lipoprotein receptor metabolism in N2a neuroblastoma cells. *Biochimica et biophysica acta*. 2011;1811:1152-64. doi: 10.1016/j.bbaliip.2011.07.010.
41. Gu HM, Wang FQ, Zhang DW. Caveolin-1 interacts with ATP binding cassette transporter G1 (ABCG1) and regulates ABCG1-mediated cholesterol efflux. *Biochimica et biophysica acta*. 2014;1841:847-58. doi: 10.1016/j.bbaliip.2014.02.002.
42. Phillips MC. Molecular mechanisms of cellular cholesterol efflux. *J Biol Chem*. 2014;289:24020-9. doi: 10.1074/jbc.R114.583658.
43. Adorni MP, Zimetti F, Billheimer JT, Wang N, Rader DJ, Phillips MC, et al. The roles of different pathways in the release of cholesterol from macrophages. *Journal of lipid research*. 2007;48:2453-62. doi: 10.1194/jlr.M700274-JLR200.

44. Brown MS, Goldstein JL. The SREBP pathway: regulation of cholesterol metabolism by proteolysis of a membrane-bound transcription factor. *Cell*. 1997;89:331-40.
45. Horton JD, Shah NA, Warrington JA, Anderson NN, Park SW, Brown MS, et al. Combined analysis of oligonucleotide microarray data from transgenic and knockout mice identifies direct SREBP target genes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2003;100:12027-32. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1534923100.
46. Ye J, DeBose-Boyd RA. Regulation of cholesterol and fatty acid synthesis. *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology*. 2011;3. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a004754.
47. Huber MD, Vesely PW, Datta K, Gerace L. Erlins restrict SREBP activation in the ER and regulate cellular cholesterol homeostasis. *The Journal of cell biology*. 2013;203:427-36. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201305076.
48. Goldstein JL, Brown MS. A century of cholesterol and coronaries: from plaques to genes to statins. *Cell*. 2015;161:161-72. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2015.01.036.
49. Raatz SK, Bibus D, Thomas W, Kris-Etherton P. Total fat intake modifies plasma fatty acid composition in humans. *J Nutr*. 2001;131:231-4.
50. Horton JD, Bashmakov Y, Shimomura I, Shimano H. Regulation of sterol regulatory element binding proteins in livers of fasted and refed mice. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 1998;95:5987-92.

**Table 1.** Characteristics and plasma fatty acid profile of the study population

	n 54
Male/female (n)	15/39
Age (years)	25.0 (22.0-30.0)
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	22.7 ± 2.6
Total-C (mmol/l)	4.8 ± 0.9
LDL-C (mmol/l)	2.7 ± 0.8
HDL-C (mmol/l)	1.5 ± 0.4
TG (mmol/l)	0.9 (0.7-1.1)
Plasma level of fatty acids (wt %)	
Total SFA	30.7 (29.5-31.9)
Myristic acid (14:0)	0.9 (0.7-1.1)
Palmitic acid (16:0)	21.5 (20.6-23.3)
Stearic acid (18:0)	7.9 ± 1.0
Total n-6	34.7 ± 3.9
LA (18:2n-6)	28.8 ± 3.6
AA (20:4n-6)	5.9 ± 1.09
Total n-3	6.3 ± 2.4
ALA (18:3n-3)	0.5 (0.5-0.6)
EPA (20:5n-3)	1.94 (0.7-2.7)
DPA (22:5n-3)	0.6 ± 0.2
DHA (22:6n-3)	3.2 ± (2.1-4.1)
Total PUFA	40.1 (38.9-48.1)
SFA to PUFA ratio	0.8 ± (0.7-0.8)

BMI, body mass index; Total-C, total cholesterol; LDL-C, low density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; SFA, saturated fatty acid; LA, linoleic acid; AA, arachidonic acid; ALA, alpha-linolenic acid; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; DPA, docosapentaenoic acid; DHA, docosahexaenoic acid; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acid. Values are presented as frequency, mean ± SD or median and 25<sup>th</sup>-75<sup>th</sup> percentiles.

**Table 2.** Characteristics and plasma fatty acid profile of subjects in the highest and lowest tertiles

	<u>n-6 level</u>			<u>n-3 level</u>			<u>SFA/PUFA ratio</u>		
	Highest tertile (n 18)	Lowest tertile (n 18)	<i>P</i>	Highest tertile (n 18)	Lowest tertile (n 18)	<i>P</i>	Highest tertile (n 18)	Lowest tertile (n 18)	<i>P</i>
Male/female	5/13	5/13		3/15	5/13		5/13	6/12	
Age (years)	25 (23-33)	25 (21-29)	0.31	25 (21-31)	24 (21-28)	0.80	25 (22-29)	28 (23-33)	0.38
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	22.5 ± 2.9	22.6 ± 2.6	0.94	22.2 ± 2	22.7 ± 3.3	0.57	23.3 ± 2.7	22.6 ± 2.6	0.41
Total-C (mmol/l)	4.9 ± 0.9	4.7 ± 1.1	0.65	4.9 ± 1	4.9 ± 1	0.96	4.7 ± 0.8	5.0 ± 0.9	0.25
LDL-C (mmol/l)	2.7 ± 0.8	2.6 ± 0.9	0.79	2.6 ± 0.9	2.8 ± 0.6	0.71	2.5 ± 0.6	2.8 ± 0.9	0.16
HDL-C (mmol/l)	1.6 ± 0.4	1.4 ± 0.3	0.15	1.6 ± 0.3	1.4 ± 0.4	0.28	1.5 ± 0.4	1.6 ± 0.3	0.74
TG (mmol/l)	0.8 (0.6-0.9)	1.2 (0.9-1.7)	<b>0.01</b>	0.8 (0.6-1.1)	1.0 (0.7-1.2)	0.30	1.1 (0.8-1.6)	0.8 (0.6-0.9)	<b>0.01</b>
Lymphocytes (%)	38.4 ± 6.8	43.0 ± 8.5	0.08	42.2 ± 10.0	37.6 ± 4	0.09	42.1 ± 8.7	37.4 ± 8.4	0.11
Monocytes (%)	8.5 ± 1.8	8.1 ± 1.9	0.49	8.1 ± 1.7	8.6 ± 2.3	0.42	8.8 ± 2.2	8.48 ± 2.0	0.71
Plasma level of fatty acids (wt %)									
Total SFA	29.4 (28.8-30.5)	32.1 (31.1-33.2)	<b>&lt;0.01</b>	30.7 (29.3-32.3)	30.8 (29.8-31.8)	0.78	32.6 (31.5-33.2)	29.1 (28.7-29.5)	<b>&lt;0.01</b>
Myristic acid (14:0)	0.6 (0.6-1.0)	1.0 (0.8-1.2)	<b>&lt;0.01</b>	0.8 (0.6-1.1)	0.9 (0.7-1.1)	0.57	1.1 (0.9-1.2)	0.6 (0.6-0.9)	<b>&lt;0.01</b>
Palmitic acid (16:0)	20.5 (20.2-21.6)	23.4 (21.4-24.2)	<b>&lt;0.01</b>	20.9 (20.4-23.7)	21.5 (20.9-22.6)	0.39	23.9 (21.8-24.5)	20.4 (20.2-20.7)	<b>&lt;0.01</b>
Stearic acid (18:0)	8.1 ± 0.7	8.1 ± 1.1	0.86	8.2 ± 1.1	8.0 ± 1.0	0.65	8.0 ± 1.1	7.7 ± 0.8	0.37
Total n-6	38.6 ± 1.3	30.3 ± 2.9	<b>&lt;0.01</b>	31.0 ± 4.0	31.5 ± 4.5	0.74	30.8 ± 3.4	38.0 ± 2.0	<b>&lt;0.01</b>
LA (18:2n-6)	32.3 ± 1.6	24.9 ± 2.5	<b>&lt;0.01</b>	27.8 ± 3.8	29.2 ± 4.1	0.29	24.8 ± 2.5	31.8 ± 2.1	<b>&lt;0.01</b>
AA (20:4n-6)	6.2 ± 1.1	5.4 ± 0.9	<b>0.02</b>	5.8 ± 0.7	6.2 ± 1.2	0.32	5.9 ± 1.3	6.2 ± 1.1	0.58
Total n-3	5.8 ± 2.5	7.0 ± 2.3	0.12	9.0 ± 0.9	3.6 ± 0.49	<b>&lt;0.01</b>	6.1 ± 2.5	6.8 ± 2.4	0.44
ALA (18:3n-3)	0.5 (0.5-0.6)	0.5 (0.4-0.6)	0.46	0.5 (0.5-0.6)	0.5 (0.5-0.6)	0.79	0.5 (0.5-0.6)	0.5 (0.5-0.5)	0.95

EPA (20:5n-3)	1.7 (0.6-2.3)	2.4 (1.8-2.9)	0.14	3.2 (2.8-3.7)	0.6 (0.5-0.6)	<b>&lt;0.01</b>	2.0 (0.7-2.6)	2.2 (1.3-3.2)	0.46
DPA (22:5n-3)	0.6 ± 0.1	0.7 ± 0.2	0.26	0.8 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.1	<b>&lt;0.01</b>	0.6 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.1	0.80
DHA (22:6n-3)	3.0 (1.9-4.1)	4.0 (3.1-4.1)	0.14	4.3 (4.1-4.7)	1.9 (1.8-2.1)	<b>&lt;0.01</b>	3.5 (2.0-4.1)	3.3 (2.8-4.3)	0.48
Total PUFA	43.8 (42.1-47.3)	38.6 (35.8-39.7)	<b>&lt;0.01</b>	42.2 (39.5-46.7)	40.4 (37.7-41.5)	<b>0.05</b>	37.8 (35.8-39.1)	44.6 (43.1-47.3)	<b>&lt;0.01</b>
SFA to PUFA ratio	0.7 (0.6-0.7)	0.8 (0.8-0.9)	<b>&lt;0.01</b>	0.7 (0.6-0.8)	0.8 (0.7-0.8)	0.13	0.9 (0.8-0.9)	0.7 (0.6-0.7)	<b>&lt;0.01</b>

BMI, body mass index; Total-C, total cholesterol; LDL-C, low density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; SFA, saturated fatty acid; LA, linoleic acid; AA, arachidonic acid; ALA, alpha-linolenic acid; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; DPA, docosapentaenoic acid; DHA: docosahexaenoic acid; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acid.

Lymphocytes and monocytes are given as percentage of total white cell count.

Differences between tertiles were analysed using the Independent Samples t-test when normally distributed or the Mann-Whitney U-test when not normally distributed. P-values <0.05 were considered significant. Values are presented as mean ± SD or medians and 25<sup>th</sup>-75<sup>th</sup> percentile.

**Table 3.** Differentially expressed genes between subjects in the highest and lowest plasma n-6 tertile

Gene	Highest tertile (n 18)		Lowest tertile (n 18)		Mean difference	<i>P</i>
STAB1*	8.66	± 0.30	8.30	± 0.47	0.36	0.011
<b>SORL1</b>	<b>10.25</b>	± <b>0.27</b>	<b>10.06</b>	± <b>0.27</b>	<b>0.19</b>	<b>0.039</b>
<b>KLHL8*</b>	<b>9.13</b>	± <b>0.25</b>	<b>8.94</b>	± <b>0.19</b>	<b>0.18</b>	<b>0.020</b>
DAGLB	9.21	± 0.30	9.03	± 0.19	0.18	0.040
<b>TOM1*</b>	<b>9.78</b>	± <b>0.24</b>	<b>9.62</b>	± <b>0.20</b>	<b>0.16</b>	<b>0.037</b>
PXN*	8.14	± 0.19	8.00	± 0.18	0.14	0.030
HMGCR*	8.85	± 0.14	8.76	± 0.11	0.09	0.036
<b>KAT5</b>	<b>7.45</b>	± <b>0.09</b>	<b>7.39</b>	± <b>0.09</b>	<b>0.06</b>	<b>0.047</b>
LRP5	6.79	± 0.09	6.87	± 0.09	-0.08	0.013
<b>LACTB</b>	<b>7.15</b>	± <b>0.12</b>	<b>7.24</b>	± <b>0.12</b>	<b>-0.09</b>	<b>0.027</b>
<b>SELS</b>	<b>9.66</b>	± <b>0.12</b>	<b>9.83</b>	± <b>0.27</b>	<b>-0.18</b>	<b>0.020</b>
<b>XBP1</b>	<b>10.63</b>	± <b>0.23</b>	<b>10.85</b>	± <b>0.37</b>	<b>-0.22</b>	<b>0.042</b>
EPHX2*	8.18	± 0.32	8.40	± 0.30	-0.22	0.043
CAV1*	6.88	± 0.11	7.13	± 0.32	-0.25	0.005
COBLL1	7.98	± 0.23	8.28	± 0.49	-0.30	0.028

Expression of genes is given as mRNA level. Values are presented as mean ± SD and are log<sub>2</sub> transformed. Differences between tertiles were analysed using the Independent Samples t-test. *P*-values <0.05 were considered significant.

\* Genes differentially expressed between subjects in the highest and lowest n-6 tertile and between subjects in the highest and lowest SFA to PUFA ratio tertile. The genes marked in bold are expressed by more than one probe (shown in Supplementary Table S2).



**Table 4.** Differentially expressed genes between subjects in the highest and lowest plasma n-3 tertile

Gene	Highest tertile (n 18)	Lowest tertile (n 18)	Mean Difference	<i>P</i>
<b>SNX5</b>	<b>8.53 ± 0.13</b>	<b>8.43 ± 0.14</b>	<b>0.10</b>	<b>0.029</b>
AMPD3	6.94 ± 0.06	6.88 ± 0.06	0.06	0.012
LCAT	6.93 ± 0.07	6.99 ± 0.07	-0.06	0.013
<b>RORA</b>	<b>7.11 ± 0.13</b>	<b>7.21 ± 0.10</b>	<b>-0.10</b>	<b>0.018</b>
<b>FAM13A</b>	<b>7.33 ± 0.14</b>	<b>7.43 ± 0.15</b>	<b>-0.10</b>	<b>0.049</b>
<b>UBE2L3*</b>	<b>7.16 ± 0.16</b>	<b>7.28 ± 0.17</b>	<b>-0.12</b>	<b>0.035</b>
ANKRA2	9.10 ± 0.20	9.25 ± 0.17	-0.15	0.017
THBS1	7.29 ± 0.32	7.62 ± 0.43	-0.32	0.016

Expression of genes is given as mRNA level. Values are presented as mean ± SD and are log<sub>2</sub> transformed. Differences between tertiles were analysed using the Independent Samples t-test. *P*-values <0.05 were considered significant.

\* Genes differentially expressed between subjects in the highest and lowest n-3 tertile and between subjects in the highest and lowest SFA to PUFA ratio tertile. The genes marked in bold are expressed by more than one probe (shown in Supplementary Table S3).

**Table 5.** Differentially expressed genes between subjects in the highest and lowest plasma SFA to PUFA ratio tertile

Gene	Highest tertile (n 18)	Lowest tertile (n 18)	Mean difference	<i>P</i>
EPHX2*	8.41 ± 0.33	8.18 ± 0.31	0.23	0.036
<b>FAM117B</b>	<b>8.58 ± 0.33</b>	<b>8.36 ± 0.09</b>	<b>0.22</b>	<b>0.012</b>
CAV1*	7.09 ± 0.27	6.87 ± 0.23	0.22	0.014
SEC24A	7.72 ± 0.26	7.56 ± 0.17	0.16	0.038
<b>UBE2L3**</b>	<b>7.24 ± 0.18</b>	<b>7.09 ± 0.13</b>	<b>0.15</b>	<b>0.010</b>
ARV1	8.07 ± 0.16	7.95 ± 0.11	0.12	0.011
NFKB1	11.09 ± 0.10	11.00 ± 0.15	0.09	0.037
SNX13	7.49 ± 0.15	7.40 ± 0.10	0.09	0.045
INSIG2	8.14 ± 0.09	8.07 ± 0.07	0.06	0.025
TMEM188	7.01 ± 0.07	6.95 ± 0.09	0.06	0.034
<b>ERLIN2</b>	<b>6.99 ± 0.06</b>	<b>6.93 ± 0.06</b>	<b>0.05</b>	<b>0.034</b>
CPS1	6.84 ± 0.07	6.79 ± 0.07	0.05	0.033
<b>TOM1*</b>	<b>7.04 ± 0.08</b>	<b>7.13 ± 0.10</b>	<b>-0.09</b>	<b>0.006</b>
POR	7.75 ± 0.14	7.85 ± 0.11	-0.10	0.037
GSK3B	8.22 ± 0.15	8.32 ± 0.13	-0.10	0.021
HMGCR*	8.76 ± 0.19	8.88 ± 0.13	-0.12	0.015
RBM5	10.81 ± 0.12	10.93 ± 0.15	-0.12	0.033
<b>ERGIC3</b>	<b>10.54 ± 0.18</b>	<b>10.67 ± 0.13</b>	<b>-0.12</b>	<b>0.031</b>
PXN*	8.00 ± 0.14	8.13 ± 0.15	-0.13	0.014
SCARB1	7.49 ± 0.23	7.62 ± 0.12	-0.14	0.025
APOBR	8.11 ± 0.18	8.25 ± 0.17	-0.14	0.038
<b>KLHL8*</b>	<b>8.96 ± 0.21</b>	<b>9.13 ± 0.25</b>	<b>-0.17</b>	<b>0.042</b>
ABCA2	7.41 ± 0.25	7.61 ± 0.30	-0.20	0.042
PNPLA2	8.28 ± 0.28	8.48 ± 0.30	-0.20	0.050
STAB1*	8.31 ± 0.50	8.67 ± 0.38	-0.35	0.023

Expression of genes is given as mRNA level. Values are presented as mean ± SD and are log<sub>2</sub> transformed. Differences between tertiles were analysed using the Independent Samples t-test. *P*-values <0.05 were considered significant.

\* Genes differentially expressed between subjects in the highest and lowest n-6 tertile and between subjects in the highest and lowest SFA to PUFA ratio tertile.

\*\* Genes differentially expressed between subjects in the highest and lowest n-3 tertile and between subjects in the highest and lowest SFA to PUFA ratio tertile. The genes marked in bold are expressed by more than one probe (shown in Supplementary Table S4).

**Table 6.** Correlations between subject characteristics and differentially expressed genes associated with plasma n-6 level and/or SFA to PUFA ratio (n 54)

	BMI	TG	Total-C	LDL-C
DAGLB		- 0.31 ( <i>P</i> 0.020)		
ERLIN2	- 0.31 ( <i>P</i> 0.021)			
FAM117B			- 0.29 ( <i>P</i> 0.034)	
GSK3B	- 0.28 ( <i>P</i> 0.042)	- 0.31 ( <i>P</i> 0.024)		
KAT5		- 0.33 ( <i>P</i> 0.016)	- 0.32 ( <i>P</i> 0.017)	
KLHL8	- 0.29 ( <i>P</i> 0.034)	- 0.30 ( <i>P</i> 0.026)		
LACTB	0.31 ( <i>P</i> 0.023)			
LRP5				- 0.30 ( <i>P</i> 0.027)
SCARB1	- 0.26 ( <i>P</i> 0.059)	- 0.27 ( <i>P</i> 0.045)		
SELS	- 0.32 ( <i>P</i> 0.033)			
SNX13	0.42 ( <i>P</i> <0.01)			

BMI, body mass index; TG, triglycerides; Total-C, total cholesterol; LDL-C, low density lipoprotein cholesterol.

Correlations were analysed using Pearson`s r. *P*-values <0.05 were considered significant.

**Figure legend**

Figure 1: Flowchart of gene selection and number of differentially expressed genes between subjects in the highest and lowest tertile of plasma n-6 level, plasma n-3 level and plasma SFA to PUFA ratio.

Differences in Log<sub>2</sub> gene expression between subjects in the highest and lowest tertiles were compared by Independent Samples t-test. P-values <0.05 were considered significant.

Figure 2: Differently expressed genes associated with plasma fatty acid levels and SFA to PUA ratio.

Number of differentially expressed genes associated with n-3 level (8 gene transcripts), n-6 level (15 gene transcripts), and SFA to PUFA ratio (25 gene transcripts). Seven differentially expressed genes were associated with both n-6 level and SFA to PUFA ratio, and one differently expressed gene was associated with both n-3 level and SFA to PUFA ratio. Differences in Log<sub>2</sub> gene expression between subjects in the highest and lowest tertiles were compared by Independent Samples t-test. P-values <0.05 were considered significant.